arXiv:1410.3327v4 [math-ph] 11 Apr 2015

THE BRST COMPLEX OF HOMOLOGICAL POISSON REDUCTION

MARTIN MÜLLER-LENNERT

Abstract. BRST complexes are differential graded Poisson algebras. They are associated to a coisotropic ideal J of a Poisson algebra P and provide a description of the Poisson algebra $(P/J)^J$ as their cohomology in degree zero. Using the notion of stable equivalence introduced in [6], we prove that any two BRST complexes associated to the same coisotropic ideal are quasi-isomorphic in the case $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ where V is a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space and the bracket on P is induced by the symplectic structure on V. As a corollary, the cohomology of the BRST complexes is canonically associated to the coisotropic ideal J in the symplectic case. We do not require any regularity assumptions on the constraints generating the ideal J. We finally quantize the BRST complex rigorously in the presence of infinitely many ghost variables and discuss uniqueness of the quantization procedure.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. BFV Models 2
3. Existence 3
4. Properties 6
5. Uniqueness 7
6. Cohomology 12
7. Examples 14
8. Quantization 15
Appendix A. Graded Poisson Algebras 23
Appendix B. Normal Ordering 28
References 30

Date: April 14, 2015.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B63; Secondary 17B70, 13D02.
Key words and phrases. BRST complex, reduction, quantization.

1. Introduction

In the quantization of gauge systems, the so-called BRST complex plays a prominent role [10]. In the Hamiltonian formalism, the theory is called BFV-theory and goes back to Batalin, Fradkin, Fradkina and Vilkovisky [1, 2, 8, 9].

In the Hamiltonian formulation of gauge theory, the presence of gauge freedom yields constraints in the phase space M of the system. The gauge group still acts on the resulting constraint surface $M_0 \subset M$. The physical observables are the functions on the quotient $\tilde{M}$ of the constraint surface M_0 by this action. One wishes to quantize those observables. In the BRST-method, one introduces variables of non-zero degree to the Poisson algebra P of functions on the original phase space. One then constructs the so-called BRST-differential on the resulting complex and recovers the functions on the subquotient $\tilde{M}$ as the cohomology of that complex in degree zero. One may then attempt to quantize the system by quantizing the BRST-complex instead of the algebra of functions on $\tilde{M}$.

The quantization procedure involves the construction of gauge invariant observables from the cohomology of the BRST complex [3, 16]. Kostant and Sternberg gave a mathematically rigorous description of the theory [12] in the case where the constraints arise from a Hamiltonian group action on phase space. They make certain assumptions that allow the BRST-complex to be constructed as a double complex combining a Koszul resolution of the vanishing ideal J of the constraint surface $M_0 \subset M$ with the Lie algebra cohomology of the gauge group. In more general cases, the Koszul complex does not yield a resolution and one has to use a much bigger Tate resolution.

More recently, Felder and Kazhdan formalized the corresponding construction in the Lagrangian formulation of the theory [6]. They consider general Tate resolutions. The aim of this note is to perform a similar formalization in the Hamiltonian setting. We consider Poisson algebras P as a starting point, which arise in the Hamiltonian viewpoint as the functions on phase space. We define the notion of a *BFV-model* for a coisotropic ideal $J \subset P$. In the Hamiltonian theory, J is given as the vanishing ideal of the constraint surface $M_0 \subset M$. We use techniques from [6, 14] to prove existence of BFV-models and show that they model the Poisson algebra $(P/J)^J$ cohomologically. This latter Poisson algebra is the physically interesting one since, in the case where P are the functions on phase space and J is the vanishing ideal of the constraint surface, it corresponds to the function on the subquotient $\tilde{M}$, which are the physical observables of the system. The statements about the existence of what we call BFV-models and their cohomology are known [10]. However, a rigorous treatment of the question of uniqueness is missing. Under certain local regularity assumptions on the constraint functions, which for instance imply that the constraint surface M_0 is smooth, a construction for a uniqueness proof for the BRST-cohomology was given in [7]. Stasheff considers the problem from the perspective of homological perturbation theory [14] and gives further special cases under which such uniqueness theorems hold. For instance, he considers the case where a proper subset of the constraints satisfy a regularity condition. Using the notion of *stable equivalence* from [6], we show that, for a symplectic polynomial algebra $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ with bracket induced from the symplectic structure on a finite-dimensional vector space V, any two BRST-complexes for the same coisotropic ideal $J \subset P$ are quasi-isomorphic. Hence, we rigorously prove uniqueness of the BRST-cohomology for such P. In contrast to previous treatments of the problem, the assumption on P does not force the constraint surface to be smooth. Moreover, we do not assume a subset of the constraints to be regular.

Finally, we quantize the BRST-complex. Under a cohomological assumption, we construct a quantum BRST-charge, and discuss its uniqueness. The obstruction to quantize lies in the second degree of the classical BRST-cohomology, while the ambiguity lies in the first degree. We do this analysis in a rigorous fashion. To the best of our knowledge such a rigorous treatment in our setting for general Tate resolutions is new.

In the smooth setting, Schätz has dealt with the problem in [13]. See also [4] for the case of a regular moment map and [11] for the case of a singular moment map of a Hamiltonian group action in the smooth setting.

I am very grateful to my supervisor Giovanni Felder for many stimulating discussions and mathematical support. This research was partially supported by the NCCR SwissMAP, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

2. BFV Models

We work over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, but any field of characteristic zero will do. Let P be a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra and $J \subset P$ a coisotropic ideal. Then the Poisson structure on P induces one on $(P/J)^J$. The purpose of the BRST complex is to model this Poisson algebra cohomologically.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a negatively graded real vector space with finite dimensional homogeneous components $\mathcal{M}^j$. Denote its component-wise dual by $\mathcal{M}^* = \bigoplus_{j>0}(\mathcal{M}^{-j})^*$. Define a Poisson bracket on $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ via the natural pairing between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$. For details of the construction we refer to chapter A in the appendix.

Form the tensor product $X_0 = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ of the two Poisson algebras defined above. Let $\mathcal{F}^p X_0$ denote the ideal generated by all elements in X_0 of degree at least p. Using the filtration defined by the $\mathcal{F}^p X_0$, complete the space X_0 to a graded commutative algebra X with homogeneous components

$$X^j = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{X_0^j}{\mathcal{F}^p X_0 \cap X_0^j}.$$

Extend the bracket on X_0 to X, thus turning X into a graded Poisson algebra. Again we refer to chapter A for details. Denote the bracket on X by $\{-,-\}$.

Set $I \subset X$ to be the homogeneous ideal with homogeneous components

$$I^j = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{\mathcal{F}^1 X_0 \cap X_0^j}{\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X_0 \cap X_0^j} \subset X^j.$$

Powers of ideals are denoted with exponents in parentheses, e.g. $I^{(k)}$ refers to the k-th power of the ideal I.

An element $R \in X$ of odd degree which solves $\{R, R\} = 0$ defines a differential $d_R = \{R, -\}$ on X by the Jacobi identity. If $R \in X^1$, the differential d_R induces a differential on X/I since it preserves I.

Definition 1. A *BFV model* for P and J is a pair (X, R) where $(X, \{-,-\})$ is a graded Poisson algebra constructed as above and $R \in X^1$ is such that the following conditions hold:

(1) $\{R, R\} = 0$.
(2) $H^j(X/I, d_R) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$.
(3) $H^0(X/I, d_R) = P/J$.

The first equation is called the *classical master equation* and the element R is called a *BRST charge.*

The aim of this note is to prove

Theorem 2. *Let P be a Poisson algebra and $J \subset P$ a coisotropic ideal. BFV models exist and in the case of $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ with with bracket induced from the symplectic structure on a finite dimensional vector space V the complexes of any two BFV-models for the same ideal J are quasi-isomorphic, whence the cohomology $H(X, d_R)$ is uniquely determined by J up to isomorphism.*

The existence of BFV models is known [7, 10]. The problem of uniqueness has been dealt with under certain regularity assumptions [7, 10]. These assumptions imply that the constraint surface is smooth. The novel part is the statement that any two BRST-complexes are quasi-isomorphic, which gives uniqueness of the BRST-cohomology as a corollary. We prove this without assuming that the constraint surface is smooth. For completeness we also include proofs of the already known facts in our framework.

Finally, we quantize the BRST-charge rigorously and discuss the uniqueness of the quantization procedure.

3. Existence

3.1. **Tate Resolutions.** In order to construct BFV models, we first have to construct a suitable commutative graded algebra X. The odd variables are obtained via Tate resolutions.

Let P be a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra and $J \subset P$ be a coisotropic ideal. Tate constructed resolutions of Noetherian rings by adding certain odd variables to the ring [15]. Consider a Tate resolution $T = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ of P/J given by a negatively graded vector space $\mathcal{M}$ with finite-dimensional homogeneous components together with a differential δ on T of degree 1. Define the dual $\mathcal{M}^*$ degree-wise. Extend δ to $X_0 := P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*) = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ by tensoring with the identity. Endow X_0 with the natural extension of the Poisson bracket, define the filtration $\mathcal{F}^p X_0$, and extend the bracket to the completion X as described in section A in the appendix. We will frequently refer to statements from that chapter.

3.1.1. *The Differential δ.* Since δ is the identity on $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$, it preserves the filtration on X_0. Hence it extends to the completion X by remark 85. Call this extension δ. The extension has degree 1 and preserves the filtration on X. The extension is still an odd derivation, whose square is zero. Since δ preserves the filtration, it defines a differential on the associated graded mapping $\mathrm{gr}^p X^n$ into $\mathrm{gr}^p X^{n+1}$.

Define $B = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$. Then $X_0 = B \otimes_P T$. Since, by definition, the extension of δ to X leaves elements in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ fixed, we have

Remark 3. The natural isomorphism of lemma 87 identifies the differential δ on the associated graded with $1 \otimes \delta$ on $B \otimes_P T$.

3.1.2. *Contracting Homotopy.* From the Tate resolution construct a contracting homotopy $s : T \to T$ of degree -1. Then there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-linear split $P/J \to P$ and a map $\overline{\pi} : T \to T$ which is defined as the composition $P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}) \to P \to P/J \to P \to P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\delta s + s\delta = 1 - \overline{\pi} \tag{1}$$

Extend δ, s and $\overline{\pi}$ to X_0 by tensoring with the identity on $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$. From the definition of $\overline{\pi}$ we find

Remark 4. $\overline{\pi} : X_0 \to X_0$ is zero on monomials which contain a factor of negative degree.

The homotopy s does not act on elements in $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and hence preserves the filtration. For the same reason $\bar{\pi}$ preserves the filtration. Both s and $\bar{\pi}$ hence naturally extend to the extension and equation 1 is valid in X too. Moreover,

Remark 5. s preserves $I^{(2)}$.

3.2. **Constructing The BRST Charge.**

3.2.1. *First Approximation.*

Definition 6. Let Q_0 be the differential δ on X/I considered as an element of X.

Hence the cohomological conditions for Q_0 to be a BRST charge are satisfied. However, Q_0 does not in general satisfy the classical master equation. We are going to prove the existence part of theorem 2 by adding correction terms to Q_0.

An explicit description of Q_0 is the following: Let e_i be a homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{M}$, e_i^* its dual basis. Set $d_i := \deg e_i = -\deg e_i^* \equiv \deg e_i^* \pmod 2$. Assume that $i \leqslant j$ implies $d_i \geqslant d_j$. Define $Q_0 := \sum_j (-1)^{1+d_j} e_j^* \delta(e_j)$. By lemma 82, this defines an element of X^1. For each p, let L_p be an integer with $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : -d_j \leqslant p-1\} = \{1, \ldots, L_p\}$ so that $(q_0)_p := \sum_{j=1}^{L_p} (-1)^{1+d_j} e_j^* \delta(e_j)$ defines a representative of the p-th component of Q_0. Of course, the element Q_0 is independent of the choice of basis e_j of $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 7. *We have $\delta = \sum_j (-1)^{1+d_j} \delta(e_j)\{e_j^*, -\}$ on X where the operator on the right hand side is well-defined.*

Proof. Set $\delta' = \sum_j (-1)^{1+d_j} \delta(e_j)\{e_j^*, -\}$. This defines a map on X: For $x \in X^n$, the elements $\{e_j^*, x\}$ are in $\mathcal{F}^{-d_j+n} X$. Hence the sum converges by lemma 82. By linearity, δ' is defined on all of X. We claim that δ' is continuous on each X^n. Let $x^j = (x_p^j + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p \in X^n$ be a sequence converging to zero. Fix p. Then there exists a K, independent of j, such that a p-th representative of $\delta'(x^j)$ is given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} (-1)^{1+d_k} \delta(e_k)\{e_k^*, x^j_{s_{-d_k,n}(p)}\}$$

since the bracket is in $X_0^{-d_k+n}$. Now let j_0 be such that for $j \geqslant j_0$ and for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ we have $x^j_{s_{-d_k,n}(p)} \in \mathcal{F}^{s_{-d_k,n}(p)} X_0^n$. Then the above representative vanishes modulo $\mathcal{F}^p X_0^{n+1}$ by corollary 69. Hence δ' is continuous on X^n. The map δ' descends to a map on X_0 since the sum is then effectively finite since $\{e_j^*, x\}$ becomes zero for j large enough, depending on $x \in X_0$. This restriction agrees with δ, which can be checked on generators since both maps are derivations. Hence $\delta' = \delta$ on each X^n by continuity. Hence $\delta = \delta'$. □

Lemma 8. *For $L_0 := \{Q_0, -\} - \delta$ we have $L_0(\mathcal{F}^p X) \subset \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathcal{F}^p X^n$. Then, by lemma 79,

$$\{Q_0, x\} = \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{1+d_j} \delta(e_j)\{e_j^*, x\} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{1+d_j} e_j^* \{\delta(e_j), x\} \right).$$

The first part converges to $\delta(x)$ by lemma 7. The second part converges by lemma 82 and hence equals L_0. Fix j. By lemma 80 it suffices to prove that $e_j^*\{\delta(e_j), x\} \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$. By the derivation property it suffices to consider $x = e_l^*$ for some l. The term $\delta(e_j)$ is a sum of monomials whose factors have degrees in $\{d_j + 1, \dots, 0\}$. Hence all elementary factors e_r in $\delta(e_j)$ that could possibly kill e_l^* have degree d_l and get compensated by a factor e_j^* with $\deg(e_j^*) > \deg(e_l^*)$. □

Moreover, we have

Lemma 9. $\{Q_0, Q_0\} \in X^2 \cap I^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{F}^2 X \cap I^{(2)}$.

Proof. We compute $\deg\{Q_0, Q_0\} = 2 \deg Q_0 = 2$ and hence $\{Q_0, Q_0\} \in \mathcal{F}^2 X$. For the last statement we need to calculate. By lemma 79,

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q_0, Q_0\} &= \lim_{m\to\infty} (-1)^{d_j+d_k} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \{\delta(e_j)e_j^*, \delta(e_k)e_k^*\} \\ &= \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \Big(2(-1)^{1+d_k}\Big((-1)^{1+d_j}\delta(e_j)\{e_j^*, \delta(e_k)\}\Big)e_k^* + (-1)^{d_j+d_k} e_j^*\{\delta(e_j), \delta(e_k)\}e_k^* \Big)\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 7 the first term is a sum in k with summands that contain factors $\delta(\delta(e_k)) = 0$ and hence the first term vanishes. By lemma 80, $\{Q_0, Q_0\} = \sum_{j,k} (-1)^{d_j+d_k} e_j^*\{\delta(e_j), \delta(e_k)\}e_k^* \in I^{(2)}$. □

Corollary 10. $\delta\{Q_0, Q_0\} \in X^3 \subset \mathcal{F}^3 X$.

3.2.2. *Recursive Construction.* We now inductively construct out of Q_0 a sequence of elements $R_n \in X^1$ by setting

$$R_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_j, \qquad Q_0 \text{ as defined above,} \qquad Q_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} s\{R_n, R_n\}.$$

The elements R_n have degree 1 since Q_0 has and s is of degree -1. The idea for the construction is taken from [14]. Also the proof of the following theorem is adapted from that paper.

Theorem 11. *For all n, $\{R_n, R_n\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X \cap I^{(2)}$, and $\delta\{R_n, R_n\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+3} X$.*

Proof. The base step was done in lemma 9 and corollary 10. We assume the statement is true for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and consider

$$\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} = \{R_n, R_n\} + 2\{R_n, Q_{n+1}\} + \{Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}\}$$

By construction and assumption $Q_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} s\{R_n, R_n\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X^1$. Hence, by corollary 69,

$$\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \equiv \{R_n, R_n\} + 2\{R_n, Q_{n+1}\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{n+3} X}.$$

Expand $\{R_n, Q_{n+1}\} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \{Q_j, Q_{n+1}\} + \{Q_0, Q_{n+1}\}$. We have, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ by inductive hypothesis, that $Q_j = -\frac{1}{2} s\{R_{j-1}, R_{j-1}\} \in \mathcal{F}^{j+1} X^1 \cap I^{(2)}$. Hence, by lemma 70,

$$\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \equiv \{R_n, R_n\} + 2\{Q_0, Q_{n+1}\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{n+3} X}.$$

We split $\{Q_0, Q_{n+1}\} = \delta Q_{n+1} + L_0 Q_{n+1}$ and, by lemma 8,

$$\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \equiv \{R_n, R_n\} + 2\delta Q_{n+1} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{n+3} X}.$$

Commuting δ and s,

$$2\delta Q_{n+1} = -\delta s\{R_n, R_n\} = s\delta\{R_n, R_n\} - \{R_n, R_n\} + \overline{\pi}\{R_n, R_n\}.$$

Since $\{R_n, R_n\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X^2$, we have that $\overline{\pi}\{R_n, R_n\} = 0$ for $n > 0$ by remark 4. For $n = 0$ we obtain $\overline{\pi}\{R_0, R_0\} = 0$ from $\{Q_0, Q_0\} = \sum_{j,k} \pm e_j^*\{\delta(e_j), \delta(e_k)\}e_k^*$ and the fact, that $\overline{\pi}$ is zero on $\{J, J\} \subset J$. Hence

$$\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \equiv s\delta\{R_n, R_n\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{n+3} X}.$$

which vanishes modulo $\mathcal{F}^{n+3} X$ by the assumption on $\delta\{R_n, R_n\}$.

Next, by the graded Jacobi identity we have $0 = \{R_{n+1}, \{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\}\}$. From lemma 8 and lemma 70 we find that $L_{n+1} := \{R_{n+1}, -\} - \delta = L_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \{Q_j, -\}$ increases filtration degree. Hence $L_{n+1}\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+4} X$ and thus $\delta\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+4} X$.

Finally, we prove $\{R_{n+1}, R_{n+1}\} = \{R_n, R_n\} + 2\{R_n, Q_{n+1}\} + \{Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}\} \in I^{(2)}$. By hypothesis $\{R_n, R_n\} \in I^{(2)}$. Next $\{Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+1}\} \in \{I^{(2)}, I^{(2)}\} \subset I^{(2)}$ by lemma 81. Now, by the same lemma, for

$j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\{Q_j, Q_{n+1}\} \in \{I^{(2)}, I^{(2)}\} \subset I^{(2)}$ and $\{Q_0, Q_{n+1}\} \in \{I, I^{(2)}\} \subset I^{(2)}$ which concludes the proof. □

From $Q_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} s\{R_n, R_n\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X^1$ it follows that the $R_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_j$ converge to an element $R \in X^1$ by lemma 82. From lemma 79, we obtain $\{R_n, R_n\} \longrightarrow \{R, R\}$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. We obtain

Corollary 12. $\{R, R\} = 0$.

Proof. We have $\{R_{n+l}, R_{n+l}\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X^2$ for all $l \geqslant 0$. Hence $\{R, R\} \in \mathcal{F}^{n+2} X^2$ for all n by lemma 80. Hence $\{R, R\} = 0$. □

We also remark that R as defined above satisfies $R \equiv Q_0 \pmod{I^{(2)}}$ since for $j > 0$, we have $Q_j \in I^{(2)}$. We are left to consider the cohomology of $d_R = \{R, -\}$ on X/I.

Lemma 13. *The action of d_R preserves the filtration and hence defines a differential on $\operatorname{gr} X$, which is identified with $1 \otimes \delta$ under the natural isomorphism of lemma 87.*

Proof. $R \in X^1$ and lemma 68 imply that d_R preserves the filtration and hence descends to the associated graded. We have $\{Q_0, -\} = L_0 + \delta$. Since L_0 increases filtration degree by lemma 8, we have that $\{Q_0, -\}$ and δ induce the same maps on $\operatorname{gr} X$. Moreover, $K := R - Q_0 \in I^{(2)} \cap X^1$ by the remark above. Hence by lemma 70, $\{K, -\}$ increases filtration degree and thus $d_R = \{R, -\}$ and $\{Q_0, -\}$ induce the same maps on the associated graded. □

Corollary 14. *$H^j(X/I, d_R) \cong P/J$ if $j = 0$ and zero otherwise.*

Proof. $H^j(X/I, d_R) = H^j(\operatorname{gr}^0 X, d_R) \cong H^j(B^0 \otimes_P T, 1 \otimes \delta) \cong H^j(T, \delta)$. □

Given a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra P with a coisotropic ideal J, we thus have constructed a BFV model for (P, J).

4. Properties

In this section we describe general properties of BFV models. We postpone the discussion of their cohomology to section 6.

Let (X, R) be a BFV-model of (P, J) with X being the completion of $P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$. Since R is of degree one, the differential d_R preserves the filtration and hence descends to $\operatorname{gr} X$. Let $\pi : X \to X/I = T = P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ be the canonical projection. Let $j : T \to X_0 \to X$ be the inclusion given by $t \mapsto 1 \otimes t \in \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*) \otimes T = X_0$. Define $\delta = \pi \circ d_R \circ j : T \to T$.

Lemma 15. *The map $\delta : T \to T$ is a derivation and a differential of degree 1.*

Proof. The derivation property follows immediately. Let $a \in T$. We have $(j \circ \pi - \mathrm{id}_X)(d_R(j(a))) \in I$ and hence $d_R(j(\pi(d_R(j(a))))) = d_R((j \circ \pi - \mathrm{id}_X)(d_R(j(a)))) \in I$ is in the kernel of π. The statement about the degree is obvious. □

Lemma 16. *Under the identification of lemma 87, the differential d_R induced on grX corresponds to the differential $1 \otimes \delta$ on $B \otimes_P T$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \operatorname{gr}^p X$ and pick a representative $a \otimes b \in B^p \otimes_P T$. (It suffices to consider the case where this is a pure tensor.) Then $d_R(ab) = d_R(a)b + (-1)^p a d_R(b)$. The first summand is in $\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$ and the second is equivalent to $1 \otimes \delta(a \otimes b)$ modulo $\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$. □

Let Q_0 be the differential δ on X/I as an element of X.

Remark 17. The complex $(X/I, d_R) = (T, \delta)$ is a Tate resolution of P/J. Hence the results from appendix A and sections 3.1 and 3.2.1 apply.

Lemma 18. *We have $R \equiv Q_0 \pmod{I^{(2)}}$. Moreover, $\{R, -\} \equiv \{Q_0, -\} \pmod{I}$.*

Proof. We have $\{R, -\} \equiv \{Q_0, -\} \pmod{I}$ by construction. Expand $R - Q_0 = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} h_j$ with $h_j \in B^j \otimes_P T^{1-j}$. Such a decomposition exists by lemma 83. Decompose $h_j = \alpha_j + \beta_j$ with $\alpha_j \in B^j \otimes_P T^{1-j} \cap I_0^{(2)}$ and $\beta_j \in B^j \otimes_P T^{1-j} \setminus I_0^{(2)}$. Let $\{e_k^{(l)}\}_k$ be a basis of $\mathcal{M}^{-l}$ with dual basis $\{e_k^{(l)^*}\}_k$. By the Leibnitz rule, $\sum_j \{\beta_j, e_k^{(l)}\} = \{R - Q_0, e_k^{(l)}\} - \sum_j \{\alpha_j, e_k^{(l)}\} \in I$. Expand each $\beta_j = \sum_s a_{j,s} e_s^{(j)^*}$ with $a_{j,s} \in T$. We obtain $a_{l,k} = (-1)^{1+l} \sum_j \{\beta_j, e_k^{(l)}\} \in I$, hence all $a_{l,k}$ vanish. □

5. Uniqueness

Fix a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra P and a coisotropic ideal J. In a first step, we prove that two BFV models for (P, J) related to the same Tate-resolutions have isomorphic cohomologies. This is a known fact [7, 10] and is presented in sections 5.1 to 5.2. The key tool will be the notion of gauge equivalences. In a second step, we prove that BFV models for $(P = \mathbb{R}[V], J)$, V a finite-dimensional symplectic vector space, on different spaces X have isomorphic cohomologies too. We present this result in sections 5.3 to 5.5. Here, the key tool will be the notion of stable equivalence, introduced in the corresponding Lagrangian setting in [6]. The novel part is that we do not require regularity assumptions, that would imply that the constraint surface is smooth.

5.1. **Gauge Equivalences.** We adapt the language of [6] and call the elements in $\mathfrak{g} = X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ generators of gauge equivalences. Different BRST-charges for the same Tate resolution will be related by these equivalences.

Lemma 19. *The set of generators of gauge equivalences $\mathfrak{g}$ is a closed subset which forms a Lie algebra acting nilpotently on $X/\mathcal{F}^p X$ via the adjoint representation. The Lie algebra $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ exponentiates to a group G acting on X by Poisson automorphisms.*

Proof. By lemma 80, the set is closed. By lemma 81 and the fact that $\{X^0, X^0\} \subset X^0$, this is a Lie algebra. By corollary 69, $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $X/\mathcal{F}^p X$. By lemma 81, this action is nilpotent. Hence $\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{g}$ exponentiates to a group acting on X by vector space automorphisms. Since $\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{g}$ consists of derivations both for the product and the bracket, those automorphisms are Poisson. □

The elements of G are called gauge equivalences.

Lemma 20. *For $x \in X^1$ and a gauge equivalence g we have $gx \equiv x \pmod{I^{(2)}}$.*

Proof. Let $c \in X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ be a generator. Then $gx - x = \sum_{j>0} \frac{1}{j!} \operatorname{ad}_c^j x \in I^{(2)}$ by lemmas 80 and 81. □

5.2. **Uniqueness for Fixed Tate Resolution.** In this section we prove that given two solutions R, R' of the classical master equation in the same space X which induce the same map on X/I are related by a gauge equivalence. Since by lemma 19, gauge equivalences are Poisson automorphisms, this implies that they have isomorphic cohomologies. We use known techniques, which are adapted from [6].

Remark 21. If R solves $\{R, R\} = 0$ and $g \in G$ is a gauge equivalence, then also $\{gR, gR\} = 0$.

We now discriminate elements in the associated graded $\operatorname{gr}^p X^n$ according to how many positive factors they contain at least by defining $A^n_{p,q} := \{v \in \operatorname{gr}^p X^n : v \text{ has representative in } I^{(q)}\}$. From the proof of lemma 87 we see that $A^n_{p,q}$ can be identified with $(B^p \cap I_0^{(q)}) \otimes_P T$, where $I_0 = \mathcal{F}^1 X_0$. We now use remark 3 to see that $A^\bullet_{p,q}$ is a subcomplex and bound its cohomology:

Lemma 22. *Fix p and q. We have $H^j(A^\bullet_{p,q}, \delta) = 0$ for $j < p$.*

Proof. From remark 3, we have $H^j(A^\bullet_{p,q}, \delta) \cong H^j((B^p \cap I_0^{(q)}) \otimes_P T, 1 \otimes \delta)$. Now we may factor this space into $(B^p \cap I_0^{(q)}) \otimes_P H^{j-p}(T, \delta)$ since $B^p \cap I_0^{(q)}$ is a free P-module. For $j < p$, the second factor vanishes, since T is a resolution of P/J. □

Lemma 23. *Fix $p \geqslant 2$. Let $R, R' \in X^1$ be two solutions of the classical master equation which induce the same maps on X/I. Then, for $2 \leqslant q \leqslant p$, we have that $R \equiv R' \pmod{I^{(q)} \cap \mathcal{F}^p X^1 + \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1}$ implies the existence of a gauge equivalence g with generator $c \in \mathcal{F}^p X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ such that $gR \equiv R' \pmod{I^{(q+1)} \cap \mathcal{F}^p X^1 + \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1}$. Moreover, the element $gR \in X^1$ sill satisfies the classical master equation and induces the same map on X/I as R and R'.*

Proof. Let δ be the common differential on X/I and Q_0 be the map δ as an element of X. Hence $R \equiv Q_0 \equiv R' \pmod{I^{(2)}}$ by lemma 18. Define $v := R - R' \in I^{(q)} \cap \mathcal{F}^p X^1 + \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1 \subset \mathcal{F}^p X^1$. We have

$$0 = \{R + R', R - R'\} = 2\{Q_0, v\} + \{R - Q_0, v\} + \{R' - Q_0, v\} \equiv 2\{Q_0, v\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^2}$$

by lemma 70. By lemma 16, the maps d_R and $d_{R'}$ also induce the same map on of $\operatorname{gr} X$ which we denote by δ too. Since $\delta v = \{Q_0, v\} - L_0 v \equiv \{Q_0, v\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^2}$ by lemma 8, the above implies $\delta v \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^2}$. Hence v defines a cocycle $\bar{v}$ in $\operatorname{gr}^p X^1$. We have $p > 1$. By lemma 22 there exists $\bar{c} \in \operatorname{gr}^p X^0$ with $\delta \bar{c} = \bar{v}$ and a corresponding representative $c \in \mathcal{F}^p X^0 \cap I^{(q)}$, so that $\delta c \equiv v$

$(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1)$. This c will be the generator of the gauge equivalence we seek. Set $g := \exp \mathrm{ad}_c$. We have

$$gR - R' = v + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mathrm{ad}_c^j R \equiv \delta(c) - d_R(c) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mathrm{ad}_c^j R \equiv \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mathrm{ad}_c^j R \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1).$$

From lemma 68, we know that this sum is in $\mathcal{F}^p X^1$. We are left to show that the sum is in $I^{(q+1)}$. By lemma 81 we have $\mathrm{ad}_c R \in I^{(q)}$. By lemma 81 we obtain $\mathrm{ad}_c^j R \in I^{(q+1)}$ for all $j \geqslant 2$ since $q \geqslant 2$.

By remark 21, gR still satisfies the classical master equation and $gR \equiv Q_0 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ I^{(2)})$ by lemma 20, whence all maps R, R', gR induce the same map on X/I. □

Theorem 24. *Let $R, R' \in X^1$ be solutions of the classical master equation with differentials inducing the same maps on X/I. Then there exists a gauge equivalence $g \in G$ with $R' = gR$.*

Proof. First we inductively construct a sequence of gauge equivalences $g_2, g_3, \ldots$ such that for all $p \geqslant 2$ we have $g_p \cdots g_2 R \equiv R' \ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1 \cap I^{(2)})$. By lemma 20, it suffices to ensure that $g_p \cdots g_2 R \equiv R' \ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1)$

For $p = 2$ note that $R - R' \in I^{(2)} \subset (I^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{F}^2 X^1) + \mathcal{F}^3 X^1$ by lemma 18. Now apply lemma 23 with $q = p$ to obtain g_2.

Next, assume the $g_2, \ldots, g_p$ have been constructed to fulfill

$$R'' := g_p \cdots g_2 R \equiv R' \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1 \cap I^{(2)}).$$

By remark 21, R'' solves the classical master equation. Moreover $R'' \equiv Q_0 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ I^{(2)})$ by lemmas 18 and 20. Hence the pair (R'', R') satisfies the requirements of lemma 23 with $q = 2$. We obtain a gauge equivalence $g_{p+1,2}$ with generator $c_{p+1,2} \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ and

$$g_{p+1,2} R'' \equiv R' \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ I^{(3)} \cap \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^1 + \mathcal{F}^{p+2} X^1).$$

If we continue to apply the lemma for $q = 3, \ldots, p+1$ we obtain gauge equivalences $g_{p+1,3}, \ldots, g_{p+1,p+1}$ with generators $c_{p+1,3}, \ldots, c_{p+1,p+1} \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ such that

$$g_{p+1,p+1} \cdots g_{p+1,2} R'' \equiv R' \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{p+2} X^1 \cap I^{(2)})$$

Set $g_{p+1} := g_{p+1,p+1} \cdots g_{p+1,2}$. The construction of the sequence is complete.

We claim that $\lim_{m\to\infty} g_m g_{m-1} \cdots g_2$ converges point-wise to a gauge equivalence g. Since all generators $c_{m,j}$ are in $\mathcal{F}^m X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$ and this set is closed under the bracket, the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula implies that the generator c_m of g_m is also in $\mathcal{F}^m X^0 \cap I^{(2)}$. Now denote the generator of $g_m \cdots g_2$ by γ_m. We have $\gamma_m \in I^{(2)}$ by the CBH formula. Moreover, the CBH formula implies that the generator γ_{m+1} of $g_{m+1} g_m \cdots g_2$ satisfies

$$\gamma_{m+1} = c_{m+1} + \gamma_m + \text{higher terms}$$

where "higher terms" are terms involving commutators of c_{m+1} and γ_m where each contains at least one instance of $c_{m+1} \in \mathcal{F}^{m+1} X^0$. Since $\gamma_m \in X^0$ all these terms are in $\mathcal{F}^{m+1} X^0$. Hence

$$\gamma_{m+1} \equiv \gamma_m \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{F}^{m+1} X^0)$$

Hence there exists $\gamma \in X^0$ with $\gamma_m \to \gamma$ as $m \to \infty$. We set $g := \exp \mathrm{ad}_\gamma$. By lemma 80 this element defines a gauge equivalence. We claim that $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_m} = g_m \ldots g_2 \to g$ point-wise. Let $x \in X^n$. Then

$$\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_m} x - \exp \mathrm{ad}_\gamma x = \{\gamma_m - \gamma, x\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma_m, \{\gamma_m, x\}\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma, \{\gamma, x\}\} + \cdots$$

Modulo a fixed $\mathcal{F}^k X$, this sum is finite and the number of terms does not depend on m since all γ_m are at least in $I^{(2)}$. Since $\gamma_m \to \gamma$ and the bracket is continuous in fixed degree by lemma 79, we obtain the claim.

Finally $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{m+l}} R - R' \in \mathcal{F}^m X^1$ implies $gR - R' \in \mathcal{F}^m X^1$ for all m which implies $gR = R'$. □

5.3. **Trivial BFV Models.** The key construction in the proof of uniqueness for different spaces X in theorem 2 is the notion of stable equivalence. The idea of adding variables that do not change the cohomology was already present in [10]. It was first explicitly formalized in [6] in a similar situation in the Lagrangian setting. Roughly speaking, one proves that different BRST complexes for the same pair (P, J) are quasi-isomorphic by adding more variables of non-zero degree. This is formalized by taking products with so-called trivial BFV models.

Let $P = \mathbb{R}$ with zero bracket and $J = 0$. Then P is a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra and J is a coisotropic ideal. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a negatively graded vector space and $\mathcal{N}[1]$ the same space with degree

shifted by -1. Define the differential δ on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}[1]$ by $\delta(a \oplus b) = b \oplus 0$. Set $T = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ and extend δ to an odd, P-linear derivation on T.

Lemma 25. *The complex (T, δ) has trivial cohomology and hence defines a Tate resolution of $P/J = \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. On $\mathcal{M}$ there is a map $s(a \oplus b) = 0 \oplus a$ with $s\delta + \delta s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Extend s to an odd, P-linear derivation on T. Then $s\delta + \delta s$ is an even derivation on T which is the identity on $\mathcal{M}$ and hence

$$s\delta + \delta s = k\,\mathrm{id} \quad \text{on } P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathcal{M}).$$

Since both s and δ preserve the k-degree, we have

$$H^j(T, \delta) = \oplus_k H^j(P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathcal{M}), \delta) = H^j(P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^0(\mathcal{M}), \delta) = \begin{cases} P, & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

□

Complete the space $Y_0 = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ to the space Y. Let e_j be a homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\delta(e_j) = e_k$ for some k depending on j. Define $Q_0 = \sum_j (-1)^{1+d_j} e_j^* \delta(e_j)$ as in section 8. Since $\{Q_0, Q_0\} = \sum_{j,k} \pm e_j^* \{\delta(e_j), \delta(e_k)\} e_k^* = 0$, the construction of section 3 yields the BRST charge $S = Q_0$. Hence (Y, S) is a BFV model for $(P, J) = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$. BFV models arising from this construction are called *trivial*.

Lemma 26. *For trivial BFV models, d_S equals the induced map of $\Delta = \delta \oplus \delta^* : \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*$ on Y, where the dual differential $\delta^* : \mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is given by $\delta^*(u) = (-1)^{\deg u} u \circ \delta$, i.e. $\delta^*(a \oplus b) = (-1)^j 0 \oplus a$ on $(\mathcal{M}^*)^j$. Conversely, the map d_S induces a differential on Y_0 which coincides with the induced map of $\delta \oplus \delta^*$ on Y_0.*

Proof. By acting on the generators e_j and e_j^* defined above one sees that the induced differential d_S on Y_0 equals $\Delta = \delta \oplus \delta^*$. Since Y_0 is dense in Y and both maps are continuous the first claim follows. The second claim follows from the observation that the sum $d_S(x) = \sum_j \pm \{e_j \delta(e_j), x\}$ is effectively finite if $x \in Y_0$. □

Lemma 27. *We have $H^j(Y, d_S) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ and $H^0(Y, d_S) = \mathbb{R}$. The same statement holds if we replace Y by Y_0.*

Proof. Since the cohomology of the complex $(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*, \Delta)$ is trivial, there is a map $s : \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^* \to \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*$ of degree -1 with $\Delta s + s\Delta = \mathrm{id}$. Its extension to Y_0 as a derivation thus satisfies

$$s\Delta + \Delta s = j\,\mathrm{id} \quad \text{on } Y_0^{n,j}. \tag{2}$$

Since both maps Δ and s preserve form degree, we obtain the statement about $H^j(Y_0, d_S)$.

Let $x \in Y^n$ with $d_S x = 0$. By lemma 89, there are $x_j \in Y^n$ of form degree j with $\sum_j x_j = x$. By continuity of the bracket, $0 = \sum_j d_S x_j$. By lemma 90, $d_S x_j = 0$ for all j, since d_S preserves form degree. Lift Δ and s to Y. Then equation 2 is still valid on $Y^{n,j}$. For $j > 0$, there are $y_j \in Y^{n-1,j}$ with $d_S y_j = x_j$. By lemma 88, the element $y = \sum_{j>0} y_j$ is well defined and

$$x = \sum_{j>0} x_j + x_0 = d_S y + x_0$$

with $x_0 \in Y^{n,0}$. For $n \neq 0$ this is the empty set and hence x is exact. For $n = 0$ this set is $\mathbb{R}$. We are left to show that two distinct d_S-closed elements of $\mathbb{R}$ always define distinct cohomology classes. This follows from the fact that each summand in $d_s y = \sum_j (\pm \delta(e_j) \{e_j^*, y\} \pm e_j^* \{\delta(e_j), y\})$ is zero or has a factor of nonzero degree since $\delta(e_j) = e_k$ for some k depending on j. □

5.4. **Stable Equivalence.** Let P be a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra and $J \subset P$ a coisotropic ideal. Let (X, R) be a BFV model for (P, J) and (Y, S) be a trivial BFV model. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be the corresponding vector spaces. Define Z as the completion of $Z_0 := X_0 \otimes Y_0 = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U}^*)$ where $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $L = R \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S$. Both X and Y naturally sit inside Z as Poisson subalgebras since the inclusions $X_0 \to Z_0$ and $Y_0 \to Z_0$ preserve the respective filtrations.

Lemma 28. *The pair (Z, L) defines another BFV model for (P, J).*

Proof. Since the bracket between elements of X and elements of Y is zero, the element L solves the master equation. The Künneth formula implies together with lemma 27 the conditions on the cohomology. □

We call Z the product of X and Y and write $Z = X \hat{\otimes} Y$. Adding the new variables in $\mathcal{N}$ does not change the cohomology of the BRST complex X:

Lemma 29. *The natural map $X \to Z$ defines a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded commutative algebras.*

Proof. We define the maps $\iota : X_0 \to Z_0$ as the natural map and $p : Z_0 \to X_0$ as the map taking $x \otimes y$ to $x\pi(y)$, where $\pi : Y_0 \to Y_0$ is the projection onto $\mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Sym}^0(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ along the $\mathrm{Sym}^j(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ with $j > 0$. Both maps extend to the respective completions. We claim that they define mutual inverses on cohomology.

From equation 2 we infer that there exists a map t on Y_0 such that $d_S t + t d_S = \mathrm{id} - \pi$. In particular $\pi d_S = d_S \pi = 0$. We have $t = \frac{1}{j} s$ on form degree $j > 0$ and $t = s$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence t preserves the filtration up to degree shift. Hence $\mathrm{id} \otimes t$ extends from Z_0 to the completion Z. By tensoring the other maps too we obtain the identity

$$d_{R+S}(\mathrm{id} \otimes t) + (\mathrm{id} \otimes t) d_{R+S} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes d_S)(\mathrm{id} \otimes t) + (\mathrm{id} \otimes t)(\mathrm{id} \otimes d_S) = \mathrm{id} \otimes (\mathrm{id} - \pi) = \mathrm{id} - \iota \circ p$$

on Z. The first equality is true since t shifts degree by one. We are left to show that both maps ι and p descend to cohomology. For ι this is trivial. For p note that for homogeneous x of degree k,

$$p(d_{R+S}(x \otimes y)) = p((d_R x) \otimes y + (-1)^k x \otimes d_S y) = \pi(y) d_R x + (-1)^k x \pi d_S y = d_R(x \pi y) = d_R(p(x \otimes y))$$

□

Now we are ready to formulate the notion of stable equivalence introduced in [6]:

Definition 30. Let (X, R) and (X', R') be two simple BFV models for (P, J). We say that (X, R) and (X', R') are stably equivalent if there exist trivial BFV models (Y, S) and (Y', S') and a Poisson isomorphism $X \hat{\otimes} Y \longrightarrow X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$ taking $R + S$ to $R' + S'$.

5.5. **Relating Tate Resolutions.** Now we want to consider BFV models (R, X) and (R', X') whose Tate resolutions $(X/I, d_R)$ and $(X'/I', d_{R'})$ are not equal. We have the notion of stable equivalence. Our aim is to prove that any two such BFV models are stably equivalent and that stably equivalent BFV models are quasi-isomorphic. As a tool we need the following lifting statement:

Lemma 31. *Let $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ with bracket induced by a symplectic structure of a finite-dimensional vector space V and consider $T = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ and $T' = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}')$. Assume there is an isomorphism $\phi : T \to T'$ of graded commutative algebras which is the identity in degree zero. Let X be the completion of $X_0 = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$. Construct analogously the space X'. Then ϕ lifts to a Poisson isomorphism $\Phi : X \to X'$.*

Proof. Since T and T' are negatively graded and isomorphic as graded algebras, we have $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}'$ as graded vector spaces. Hence we may assume $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}'$ and thus $T = T'$ and $X = X'$.

Pick standard coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ on the space V for the symplectic structure, so that $\mathbb{R}[V] = \mathbb{R}[x_i, y_j]$ and $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\{e_j^{(l)}\}_j$ be a basis of $\mathcal{M}^{-l}$ and $\{e_j^{(l)^*}\}_j$ be the respective dual bases. Then there are elements $a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j, l)(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}[x_i, y_i]$ and invertible matrices $a^{(l)}_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}[x_i, y_i]$ such that

$$\phi(e_j^{(l)}) = \sum_k a^{(l)}_{jk}(x_i, y_i) e_k^{(l)} + \sum a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j, l)(x_i, y_i) e_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \cdots e_{j_k}^{(l_k)}$$

where the sum runs over all integers $k \geq 2$ and $(j_1, l_1), \dots (j_k, l_k)$ with $l_1 + \dots + l_k = l$ and is thus finite. Consider indeterminats $Y_i, E_j^{(l)^*} \in X_0$ of degree 0 and l respectively, defining

$$S(x_i, Y_i, e_j^{(l)}, E_j^{(l)^*}) = \sum_i x_i Y_i + \sum_{j,k,l} a^{(l)}_{jk}(x_i, Y_i) E_j^{(l)^*} e_k^{(l)} + \sum_{(j,l)} \sum a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j, l)(x_i, Y_i) E_j^{(l)^*} e_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \cdots e_{j_k}^{(l_k)}$$

Consider the equations

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} = y_i \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial Y_i} = X_i \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial e_j^{(l)}} = (-1)^l e_j^{(l)^*} \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial E_j^{(l)^*}} = E_j^{(l)}$$

which read

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_i &= Y_i + \sum_{j,k,l} \frac{\partial a^{(l)}_{jk}(x_i, Y_i)}{\partial x_i} E^{(l)^*}_j e^{(l)}_k + \sum_{(j,l)} \sum \frac{\partial a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j,l)(x_i, Y_i)}{\partial x_i} E^{(l)^*}_j e^{(l_1)}_{j_1} \cdots e^{(l_k)}_{j_k} \\
X_i &= x_i + \sum_{j,k,l} \frac{\partial a^{(l)}_{jk}(x_i, Y_i)}{\partial Y_i} E^{(l)^*}_j e^{(l)}_k + \sum_{(j,l)} \sum \frac{\partial a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j,l)(x_i, Y_i)}{\partial Y_i} E^{(l)^*}_j e^{(l_1)}_{j_1} \cdots e^{(l_k)}_{j_k} \\
e^{(l)^*}_j &= \sum_k a^{(l)}_{kj}(x_i, Y_i) E^{(l)^*}_k + \sum_{(j',l')} \sum a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j', l')(x_i, Y_i)(-1)^{l(l'+1)} E^{(l')^*}_{j'} \frac{\partial (e^{(l_1)}_{j_1} \cdots e^{(l_k)}_{j_k})}{\partial e^{(l)}_j} \\
E^{(l)}_j &= \sum_k a^{(l)}_{jk}(x_i, Y_i) e^{(l)}_k + \sum a^{l_1 \dots l_k}_{j_1 \dots j_k}(j, l)(x_i, Y_i) e^{(l_1)}_{j_1} \cdots e^{(l_k)}_{j_k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear part is invertible. Hence we can solve the equations for $(X_i, Y_i, E^{(l)}_j, E^{(l)^*}_j)$ in terms of $(x_i, y_i, e^{(l)}_j, e^{(l)^*}_j)$ (and vice versa) and hence also for $(x_i, Y_i, e^{(l)}_j, E^{(l)^*}_j)$ in terms of $(x_i, y_i, e^{(l)}_j, e^{(l)^*}_j)$ (and vice versa) in the completion X. Hence the function S generates a Poisson automorphism $\Phi : X \to X$ by lemma 91. Let I be the ideal generated by positive elements as defined previously. We have $\Phi(x_i) = X_i \equiv x_i = \phi(x_i) \pmod I$ and $\Phi(y_i) = Y_i \equiv y_i = \phi(y_i) \pmod I$. Thus also $\Phi(e^{(l)}_j) = E^{(l)}_j \equiv \phi(e^{(l)}_j) \pmod I$. Hence Φ is a lift of ϕ. □

Theorem 32. *Consider $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ with bracket induced by a symplectic structure on a finite-dimensional vector space V. Any two BFV models for (P, J) are stably equivalent.*

Proof. Let (X, R) and (X', R') be BFV models with associated Tate resolutions $T := X/I \cong P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ and $T' := X'/I' \cong P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}')$. By [6, theorem A.2], there exist negatively graded vector spaces $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ with finite dimensional homogeneous components, differentials $\delta_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}), \delta_{\mathcal{N}'} : \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}') \to \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}')$ with cohomology $\mathbb{R}$, and an isomorphism ϕ of differential graded commutative algebras

$$P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}) \to P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}' \oplus \mathcal{N}')$$

restricting to $\mathrm{id}_P : P \to P$ in degree 0. Let Y and Y' be the trivial BFV models corresponding to $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ with BRST charges S and S', respectively. Consider the spaces $Z = X \hat{\otimes} Y$ and $Z' = X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$. Together with the operators $L = R + S$ and $L' = R' + S'$ they form BFV models (Z, L) and (Z', L') for (P, J) by lemma 28.

We now construct a Poisson isomorphism $\Phi : X \hat{\otimes} Y \to X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$ sending $R + S$ to $R' + S'$. By lemma 31, the map ϕ lifts to a Poisson isomorphism $\Psi : X \hat{\otimes} Y \to X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$. Now $L'' = \Psi(L)$ solves $\{-, -\} = 0$ in $X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$. Moreover, $\{L'', -\}$ induces δ' on $P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M}' \oplus \mathcal{N}')$. By theorem 24, there exists a Poisson isomorphism χ of $X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$ with $L' = \chi(L'')$. Set $\Phi = \chi \circ \Psi$.

We are now in the situation

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & & X' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X \hat{\otimes} Y & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & X' \hat{\otimes} Y'
\end{array}
$$

where the vertical arrows represent natural maps which are quasi-isomorphisms by lemma 29. □

Lemma 33. *The complexes of two stably equivalent BFV models are quasi-isomorphic. In particular, they have cohomologies which are isomorphic as graded commutative algebras.*

Proof. Let (X, R) and (X', R') be two stably equivalent BFV models. Hence we are in the situation

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & & X' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X \hat{\otimes} Y & \longrightarrow & X' \hat{\otimes} Y'
\end{array}
$$

where the downward arrows are quasi-isomorphisms of differential graded commutative algebras by lemma 29 and the bottom arrow is a Poisson isomorphism $X \hat{\otimes} Y \to X' \hat{\otimes} Y'$ sending $R + S$ to $R' + S'$. □

From theorem 32 and lemma 33 we obtain analogously to the treatment of the Lagrangian case in [6]

Corollary 34. *Let $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ with bracket induced by a symplectic structure on a finite-dimensional vector space V. Any two BRST-complexes arising from BFV-models for the same coisotropic ideal $J \subset P$ are quasi-isomorphic. Hence, the BRST cohomology is uniquely determined by $(P = R[x_i, y_i], J)$ up to an isomorphism of graded commutative algebras.*

6. Cohomology

Let P be a unital, Noetherian Poisson algebra and J a coisotropic ideal. Let (X, R) be a BFV model for $J \subset P$. In this section we analyze the cohomology of the complex (X, d_R). We follow the strategy from [6].

6.1. **Cohomology and Filtration.** The associated graded is defined as $\operatorname{gr}^p X = \mathcal{F}^p X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$. The differential d_R induces a map δ on $X/I = T = P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ and the results from section 4 apply.

Lemma 35. *$H^j(\operatorname{gr}^p, d_R) \cong B^p \otimes_P P/J$ for $j = p$ and $H^j(\operatorname{gr}^p, d_R) \cong 0$ for $j \neq p$.*

Proof. Fix p. B^p is a free P-module. By lemma 16, we have

$$H^j(\mathcal{F}^p X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R) \cong H^j(B^p \otimes_P T^{\bullet - p}, 1 \otimes \delta) \cong B^p \otimes_P H^{j-p}(T, \delta) \cong B^p \otimes_P H^{j-p}(X/I, d_R)$$

□

Next, we want to prove that, in order to compute the cohomology in a fixed degree, one may disregard elements of high filtration degree.

Lemma 36. *Let $j < p$ be integers with $p \geqslant 0$. Then $H^j(\mathcal{F}^p X, d_R) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{F}^p X^j$ be a cocycle representing a cohomology class in $H^j(\mathcal{F}^p X, d_R)$. Then $x + \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^j$ defines a cocycle in $H^j(\mathcal{F}^p X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R)$. By lemma 35, there is $y_0 \in \mathcal{F}^p X^{j-1}$ with $x - d_R y_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^j$. Hence this element defines a cocycle in $H^j(\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X / \mathcal{F}^{p+2} X, d_R) = 0$. Hence there is $y_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^{j-1}$ with $x - d_R y_0 - d_R y_1 \in \mathcal{F}^{p+2} X^j$. Iterating this procedure we find a sequence $y_0, y_1, \dots$ of elements $y_j \in \mathcal{F}^{p+j} X^{j-1}$ with $x - d_R(y_0 + \dots + y_j) \in \mathcal{F}^{j+1} X^j$. By lemma 82 the element $y := y_0 + \dots \in X^{j-1}$ is well-defined and $y_0 + \dots + y_j \to y$. Since all y_j are in $\mathcal{F}^p X^{j-1}$ and this set is closed by lemma 80, we have $y \in \mathcal{F}^p X^{j-1}$. Finally, for n fixed, and all j,

$$d_R y_0 + \dots + d_R y_n + \dots + d_R y_{n+j} - x \in \mathcal{F}^{n+1} X^j$$

Since $d_R = \{R, -\}$ is continuous (lemma 79), we have $d_R y - x \in \mathcal{F}^{n+1} X^j$. Since n was arbitrary, $d_R y = x$. □

Corollary 37. *The cohomology of (X, d_R) is concentrated in non-negative degree.*

Corollary 38. *The natural map $H^j(X, d_R) \to H^j(X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R)$ is an isomorphism for $j < p$ and injective for $j = p$.*

Proof. The short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X \to X \to X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X \to 0$ defines the long exact sequence

$$\dots \to H^j(\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R) \to H^j(X, d_R) \to H^j(X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R) \to H^{j+1}(\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R) \to \dots$$

For $j \leqslant p$ the first term is zero and for $j < p$ both the first and the last terms are zero by lemma 36. □

6.2. **Spectral Sequences.**

Lemma 39. *Let $E_r^{p,q}$ be the spectral sequence corresponding to the filtered complex $\mathcal{F}^p X^{p+q}$ with differential d_R. We have $H^\bullet(X, d_R) \cong E_2^{\bullet,0}$ as graded commutative algebras.*

Proof. Begin with $E_0^{p,q} := \mathcal{F}^p X^{p+q} / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^{p+q}$. It is concentrated in degree $p \geqslant 0, q \leqslant 0$. By lemma 35 we have the following isomorphism of differential bi-graded algebras:

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(E_0^{p,\bullet}, d_R) = H^q(\mathcal{F}^p X^{p+\bullet} / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X^{p+\bullet}, d_R) = H^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}^p X / \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R)$$
$$\cong \begin{cases} B^p \otimes_P P/J, & \text{if } q = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence $E_1^{p,q}$ is concentrated in degree $p \geqslant 0$ and $q = 0$. Moreover, $d_1^{p,q}$ maps $E_1^{p,q}$ to $E_1^{p+1,q}$. Hence also $E_2^{p,q}$ is concentrated in $p \geqslant 0, q = 0$. Since d_2 maps $E_2^{p,0}$ to $E_2^{p+2,-1}$ it is zero for degree reasons and hence the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2.

We are left to prove that the spectral sequence converges to the cohomology. By [5, chapter XV, proposition 4.1], this follows from lemma 36. □

We could use this lemma to prove $H^0(X, d_R) \cong (P/J)^J$ as algebras. However, we want to consider an additional structure on the latter space.

6.3. **The Poisson Algebra Structure on $(P/J)^J$.** We have a Poisson algebra structure on $(P/J)^J$.

Lemma 40. *The Poisson algebra structure on P induces a Poisson algebra structure on $(P/J)^J$.*

Proof. Let $p+J, q+J \in (P/J)^J$, i.e. $p, q \in P$ with $\{p, J\}, \{q, J\} \subset J$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. J is a linear subspace of P and $\{ap+q, J\} \subset J$ hence $(P/J)^J$ is a vector space. J is an ideal in P and $\{pq, J\} \subset J$ by the Leibnitz rule. Hence $(P/J)^J$ is an algebra. Finally, we have to show that the Poisson bracket descends to $(P/J)^J$. We have $\{p, q\} \in J$ if $p \in J$. Hence the definition $\{p+J, q+J\} := \{p, q\} + J$ does not depend on the choice of representatives. Moreover, $\{\{p, q\}, J\} \subset J$ by the Jacobi identity. Hence the bracket is well-defined. □

We also have a Poisson algebra structure on $H^0(X, d_R)$.

Lemma 41. *The graded Poisson algebra structure on X induces a Poisson algebra structure on the cohomology $H^0(X, d_R)$ in degree zero.*

Proof. The cohomology of a differential graded commutative algebra is naturally a graded commutative algebra. In particular, the cohomology in degree 0 is a commutative algebra. We have to show that the bracket descends to $H^0(X, d_R)$. Let $x, y \in X^0$ be representatives of cohomology classes in $H^0(X, d_R)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\{x, y\} \in X^0$ is closed: $\{R, \{x, y\}\} = 0$ by the graded Jacobi identity. Moreover, if $x = d_R x'$ is exact, then $d_R\{x', y\} = \{R, \{x', y\}\} = -\{x', \{y, R\}\} - \{y, \{R, x'\}\} = -\{y, x\} = \{x, y\}$. □

Those two structures are in fact isomorphic. We will explicitly construct a Poisson isomorphism. By corollary 38, we have $H^0(X, d_R) \cong H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R)$ as vector spaces.

Lemma 42. *Representatives in X^0 of cocycles in $X^0/\mathcal{F}^2 X^0$ defining elements in $H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R)$ may be taken of the form*

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{i,j \in L} a_{ij} e_i^* e_j$$

where $L = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \deg(e_j^*) = 1\}$, $x_0 \in P$, the $\{e_j\}$ are a homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{M}$, and the $a_{ij} \in P$ are chosen such that

$$\{\delta(e_j), x_0\} = \sum_{i \in L} a_{ji} \delta(e_i)$$

Conversely, every such element defines a cohomology class.

Proof. We have

$$X^0/\mathcal{F}^2 X^0 = P \oplus (P \otimes (\mathcal{M}^*)^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1})$$
$$X^{-1}/\mathcal{F}^2 X^{-1} = (P \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}) \oplus (P \otimes (\mathcal{M}^*)^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-2}) \oplus (P \otimes (\mathcal{M}^*)^1 \otimes (\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{-1})).$$

Hence an arbitrary cochain may be taken to be of the form

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{i,j \in L} a_{ij} e_i^* e_j$$

for some $x_0, a_{ij} \in P$. We compute with the help of lemma 18,

$$d_R x = \{R, x_0\} + \sum_{i,j \in L} (\{R, a_{ij}\} e_i^* e_j + \{R, e_i^*\} e_j a_{ij} - \{R, e_j\} e_i^* a_{ij}) \equiv \{R, x_0\} - \sum_{i,j \in L} \{R, e_i\} e_j^* a_{ji}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j \in L} \left((-1)^{1+d_j} \{\delta(e_j), x_0\} - \sum_{i \in L} a_{ji} \delta(e_i) \right) e_j^* \pmod{\mathcal{F}^2 X^1}$$

□

Theorem 43. *$H^0(X, d_R) \cong (P/J)^J$ as Poisson algebras.*

Proof. Let $\pi : X \to P = X/(I + I_-)$ denote the projection onto all monomials which contain no factors of nonzero degree. Here $I_- \subset X$ denotes the ideal generated by all elements of negative degree. Define the map $\Phi : H^0(X, d_R) \to P/J$ by $\Phi([x]) := \pi(x) + J$. This map is well defined: Let $x = d_R y$ be exact. Consider again the differential δ on $T = X/I$ that is induced by d_R and its representation as an element $Q_0 \in X^1$. Also pick a homogeneous basis e_j of $\mathcal{M}$ as done before. By lemma 18, we obtain

$$d_R(y) \equiv \{Q_0, y\} \equiv \sum_i \{(-1)^{1+d_i} \delta(e_i) e_i^*, y\} \equiv \sum_{i : \deg e_i = -1} \delta(e_i) \{e_i^*, y\} \pmod{I + I_-}.$$

The last sum is finite. Hence

$$\pi(x) = \pi(d_R y) = \sum_{i:\deg e_i = -1} \delta(e_i)\pi\{e_i^*, y\} \in J.$$

By lemma 38, we have $H^0(X, d_R) \cong H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R)$ as vector spaces. Hence we have a corresponding linear map $X/\mathcal{F}^2 X \to P/J$.

The image of either of those maps is J-invariant: Let $[x] \in H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R)$. According to lemma 42, we may pick a representative $x_0 = \pi(x_0) + \sum_{i,j\in L} a_{ij} e_i^* e_j$ of x where $a_{ij} \in P$ satisfy $\{\delta(e_j), \pi(x_0)\} = \sum_{i\in L} a_{ji}\delta(e_i)$. In particular $\{\delta(e_j), \pi(x_0)\} \in J$. Fix $b \in J$. Then there exist $b_j \in P$ with $b = \sum_{i\in L} b_i \delta(e_i)$ and thus $\{b, \pi(x_0)\} = \sum_{i\in L} \big(b_i\{\delta(e_i), \pi(x_0)\} + \delta(e_i)\{b_i, \pi(x)\}\big) \in J$.

Hence we have two linear maps

$$\phi : H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R) \to (P/J)^J$$
$$\Phi : H^0(X, d_R) \to (P/J)^J$$

given by projection onto the P component followed by modding out J, which correspond to each other under the isomorphism $H^0(X, d_R) \cong H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R)$.

The map ϕ is surjective: Let $p \in P$ with $\{J, p\} \subset J$. By lemma 42, the element $x = p + \sum_{ij\in L} a_{ij} e_i^* e_j$ is a cocycle if $\{\delta(e_j), p\} = \sum_{i\in L} a_{ji}\delta(e_i)$. But those $a_{ij} \in P$ exist since the $\{\delta(e_j)\}_{j\in L}$ generate J. Hence also the map Φ is surjective.

The map Φ is injective: Let $x \in X^0$ represent $[x] \in H^0(X, d_R)$ with $\pi(x) \in J$. We claim that there exist $y_j \in \mathcal{F}^j X^{-1}$ with $x - d_R(y_0 + \cdots + y_n) \in \mathcal{F}^{n+1} X^0$. By lemma 35, we know that $H^j(\mathcal{F}^p X/\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X, d_R)$ is concentrated in degree zero with $H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^1 X, d_R) \cong P/J$ via the natural map. Now $x + \mathcal{F}^1 X^0$ defines the zero cohomology class in $H^0(X/\mathcal{F}^1 X, d_R)$ since $\pi(x) \in J$. Hence there exists $y_0 \in \mathcal{F}^0 X^{-1}$ with $x - d_R y_0 \in \mathcal{F}^1 X^0$. Again, $x - d_R y_0 + \mathcal{F}^2 X^0$ defines the zero cohomology class in $H^0(\mathcal{F}^1 X/\mathcal{F}^2 X, d_R) = 0$. Hence there exists $y_1 \in \mathcal{F}^1 X^{-1}$ with $x - d_R(y_0 + y_1) \in \mathcal{F}^2 X^0$ and so on. Hence the y_j exist and their sum converges to an element $y \in X^{-1}$ by lemma 82, which satisfies $x - d_R y = 0$ by lemma 80.

Hence the map Φ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. This map also respects the product structure

$$\Phi([x][y]) = \Phi([xy]) = \pi(xy) + J = \pi(x)\pi(y) + J = (\pi(x) + J)(\pi(y) + J) = \Phi([x])\Phi([y])$$

and is hence an isomorphism of algebras. Finally, map Φ respects the bracket:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\{[x], [y]\}) = \Phi([\{x, y\}]) &= \pi(\{x, y\}) + J = \pi(\{\pi(x), y\}) + J = \pi(\{\pi(x), \pi(y)\}) + J \\ &= \{\pi(x), \pi(y)\} + J = \{\pi(x) + J, \pi(y) + J\} = \{\Phi(x), \Phi(y)\}\end{aligned}$$

since $\{\pi(x) - x, X^0\} \subset \{(I + I_0) \cap X^0, X^0\} \subset \ker\pi$ where $\ker\pi = I + I_0 \subset X$ is the ideal generated by all elements of nonzero degree. The last inclusion holds by the Leibnitz rule since all summands of elements in $I + I_0$ that are of degree zero contain at least two factors of nonzero degree. □

7. Examples

7.1. **Rotations of the Plane.** Here we present an example, where the cohomology in degree zero has a nontrivial bracket and the cohomology in degree 1 does not vanish. It is obtained by considering the symplectic lift of the rotations of the plane to the cotangent bundle of the plane.

Consider $P = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$ with $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. The ideal $J \subset P$ generated by $\mu = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ is coisotropic. A Tate resolution of J is given by

$$0 \to P \cdot e \to P \to P/J \to 0$$

where the differential δ is the P-linear derivation defined by $\delta(e) = \mu$. Indeed, this complex is a Koszul complex which is exact since $\mu \neq 0$ defines a regular sequence. Hence $X = \big(P\cdot e\big) \oplus \big(P \oplus P\cdot e^* e\big) \oplus \big(P\cdot e^*\big)$. We now apply the construction from section 3. We obtain $Q_0 = e^*\mu$ and $R = Q_0$ since $\{Q_0, Q_0\} = 0$. One easily calculates

$$H^0(X, d_R) = \frac{\{a + be^*e : \{\mu, a\} = \mu b, a, b \in P\}}{\{\mu c + \{\mu, c\}e^* e : c \in P\}}.$$

Notice, that the isomorphism $H^0(X, d_R) \to (P/J)^J$ given by projection onto P is evident here. Moreover, the bracket on this space does not vanish: $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ and $y_1^2 + y_2^2$ define cohomology classes, for which $\{x_1^2 + x_2^2, y_1^2 + y_2^2\} = 4(x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2)$ is not in J. Furthermore,

$$H^1(X, d_R) = \frac{\{ae^* : a \in P\}}{\{d_R(a + be^*e) : a, b \in P\}} \cong \frac{P}{\{\{\mu, a\} + \mu b : a, b \in P\}}$$

does not vanish since $\deg_0\{\mu, a\} \geq 1$ and $\deg_0(\mu b) \geq 2$. Here, $\deg_0$ denotes the degree in $P = \mathbb{R}[x_i, y_i]$.

7.2. **Rotations of Space.** Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $M = T^*X \cong X \oplus X^*$. Consider the group $G = SO(3)$ acting on X via the standard representation $\rho_0 : G \to \operatorname{End} X$. The symplectic lift is given by $\rho : G \to \operatorname{End} M$, $\rho(A)(x,p) = (Ax, p \circ A^{-1})$. Mapping the standard basis of $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ to its dual basis, we obtain an isomorphism $\iota : X \to X^*$. A possible moment map is the angular momentum mapping $\mu : M \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mu(x,p) = x \times \iota p$. Here $\times$ refers to the vector product, and we identified $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ using the basis

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0) = \{(x,p) \in X \oplus X^* : \iota p \parallel x\}$. This is not a manifold. If it was one, it had dimension 4. However, all $(x,0), x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and all $(0,p), p \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^*$ belong to M_0. Hence they also belong to the tangent space at the origin, provided M_0 was a manifold. Since the tangent space at the origin is linear, it would have dimension $6 > 4$. Since the constraint surface M_0 is not a manifold, results from [7], [12] do not apply.

We take the Tate resolution T of the vanishing ideal J of M_0 in $P = \mathbb{R}[M] = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3, p_1, p_2, p_3]$ with $\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. It exists since P is Noetherian. We obtain the existence and uniqueness of a BRST charge R as described in the previous chapters.

8. Quantization

In this section, we discuss quantization. In section 8.1, we define a quantum algebra quantizing the Poisson algebra X from the previous part of this note. We rigorously define multiplication via normal ordering in the presence of infinitely many ghost variables.

In section 8.2, we construct a solution of the quantum master equation associated to a given solution of the classical master equation. This means we construct an element of the quantum algebra that agrees with the quantization of the classical solution up to an error of order $\hbar$ and squares to zero.

In section 8.3, we discuss the uniqueness of such solutions of the quantum master equation. We parallel our discussion in the classical case. In section 8.3.1, we define the notion of a quantum gauge equivalence. In section 8.3.2, we prove that two solutions of the quantum master equation that agree up to an error of order $\hbar$ are related via an automorphism of associative algebras. In section 8.3.3, we show that the solutions of the quantum master equation associated to two BRST-models associated to the same Tate Resolution are also related by an automorphism of associative algebras. In section 5.5, we have shown that any two BRST-models associated to the same coisotropic ideal J are stably equivalent. We would like to find a quantum analogue of this theorem. We were able to prove in section 8.3.5 that the process of adding extra variables yields a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded algebras on the quantum level. However, as discussed in section 8.3.6, we were unable to quantize the general Poisson isomorphism of lemma 31.

8.1. **Quantum Algebra.**

Assumption 44. Assume that $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ where V is a finite-dimensional real symplectic vector space and the bracket on P is induced by the symplectic structure.

Pick a decomposition $V = W \oplus W^*$ where W is a Lagrangian subspace of V and $\{v, \lambda\} = \lambda(v)$ for $v \in W$ and $\lambda \in W^*$. Set $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M} \oplus W$ so that we may write $X_0 = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$. Further define $X_- = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N})$ and $X_+ = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N}^*)$. The first approximation to the quantum algebra is the vector space $G_0 = G_- \otimes G_+$. Here, $G_\pm = X_\pm$ as vector spaces. We discard the algebra structure induced by the tensor product. Later we will construct a different one. The canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N}^*) \to \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ has an inverse which we call the classical normal ordering map $q_0 : X_0 \to G_0$. It is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces. Although, given W, the map q_0 is canonical, the choice of W is not.

Our first goal is to define a suitable algebra structure to realize the quantum commutation relations. For this task, we need to introduce a formal parameter $\hbar$ and construct the graded vector space $G_0[\hbar]$. We consider $\hbar$ to be of degree zero. Our second goal is to complete this vector space in such a way that we can extend the normal ordering map $q_0 : X_0 \to G_0 \subset G_0[\hbar]$ to a quantization map defined on all of X.

First, we introduce normal ordering on the quantum level. Let $s_- : \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N}) \to T(\mathcal{N})$ and $s_+ : \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{N}^*) \to T(\mathcal{N}^*)$ be any two $\mathbb{R}$-linear splits from the symmetric algebras to the respective tensor algebras. Denote the canonical isomorphism $T(\mathcal{N}) \otimes T(\mathcal{N}^*) \to T(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ by τ and set $s = \tau \circ (s_- \otimes s_+) :$

$G_0 \to T(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$. Extend the latter map to

$$s : G_0[\hbar] \to T(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)[\hbar]$$

by linearity in $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$. Similarly, using the canonical projections $T(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N})$ and $T(\mathcal{N}^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}^*)$, define the map $(T(\mathcal{N}) \otimes T(\mathcal{N}^*))[\hbar] \to G_0[\hbar]$ by $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$-linear extension. Let $(cr) \subset T(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)[\hbar]$ be the two-sided ideal generated by

$$[x, y] - \hbar\{x, y\}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*$$

where $[-,-]$ denotes the graded commutator on the tensor algebra. To define a multiplication on $G_0[\hbar]$ we have to prove the following Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type statement:

Theorem 45. *Let $x, y \in G_0[\hbar]$. Then the class of $s(x)s(y) \in T(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)[\hbar]$ modulo (cr) has a representative in $(T(\mathcal{N}) \otimes T(\mathcal{N}^*))[\hbar]$. Its image in $G_0[\hbar]$ is uniquely determined by x and y and is moreover independent of the choice of splits $s_\pm$. We denote it by $\nu(x, y)$. The resulting map $\nu : G_0[\hbar] \times G_0[\hbar] \to G_0[\hbar]$ is $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$-bilinear.*

Proof. Pick an ordered homogeneous basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ and its corresponding dual basis $\{\eta_i\}$ of $\mathcal{N}^*$, so that $G_0 = \mathbb{R}[\xi_i] \otimes \mathbb{R}[\eta_i]$. We now have $s(x)s(y) \in F_0[\hbar]$ where $F_0 = \mathbb{R}\langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle$ is a free algebra. By theorem 92, we obtain a unique normal ordered representative of $s(x)s(y) + (cr) \in F_0[\hbar]/(cr)$, which lives in $(\mathbb{R}\langle \xi_i \rangle \otimes \mathbb{R}\langle \eta_i \rangle)[\hbar]$. Its image in $(\mathbb{R}[\xi_i] \otimes \mathbb{R}[\eta_i])[\hbar]$ is denoted by $\nu(x, y)$. If we change either of the splits $s_\pm$ the class $s(x)s(y) + (cr)$ will not change, since the difference lives in the ideal (cr). Moreover, this class depends $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$-linearly on x and y. By theorem 92, also the normal ordered representative depends linearly on that class. Hence $\nu(x, y)$ is $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$-bilinear. By lemma 93, the element $\nu(x, y)$ does not depend on the choice of basis $\{\xi_i\}$. □

Lemma 46. *$(G_0[\hbar], \nu)$ is a graded unital associative algebra.*

Proof. We have to show associativity. We have $s(\nu(x, y)) \equiv s(x)s(y) \pmod{(cr)}$ by construction. Hence

$$s(\nu(x, y))s(z) \equiv s(x)s(y)s(z) \equiv s(x)s(\nu(y, z)) \pmod{(cr)}$$

and associativity follows. □

We write ab instead of $\nu(a, b)$. Define $[a, b] = ab - (-1)^{\deg a \deg b} ba$.

Remark 47. If we pick a basis $\{x_i, y_i\}$ of V adapted to the symplectic structure and a homogeneous basis e_i of $\mathcal{M}$ and corresponding dual bases $y_i \in V^*$ and $e_i^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x_i, y_j] = \hbar\delta_{ij} && [e_k, e_l^*] = \hbar\delta_{kl} && && \\
&[x_i, x_j] = 0 && [y_i, y_j] = 0 && [e_k, e_l] = 0 && [e_k^*, e_l^*] = 0 \\
&[x_i, e_k] = 0 && [x_i, e_k^*] = 0 && [y_i, e_k] = 0 && [y_i, e_k^*] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have realized the quantum commutation relations.

Next we define a completion and extend the product to it. We introduce the filtration on G_0 defined by the subspaces $\mathcal{F}^p G_0 = \bigoplus_{q \geq p} G_- \otimes G_+^p$. Set $\mathcal{F}^p G_0^n = \mathcal{F}^p G_0 \cap G_0^n = \bigoplus_{q \geq p} G_-^{n-p} \otimes G_+^p$. We complete G_0 to the graded vector space $G = \bigoplus_n G^n$ where

$$G^n = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{G_0^n}{\mathcal{F}^p G_0^n}.$$

This graded vector space is again filtered by the subspaces $\mathcal{F}^p G^n = \lim_{\leftarrow q} \frac{\mathcal{F}^p G_0^n}{\mathcal{F}^{p+q} G_0^n}$.

Define the graded vector space $G_\hbar$ by its homogeneous components $H_\hbar^n = G^n[[\hbar]]$. We have a family of projections $p_j : G_\hbar \to G$ mapping $\sum_{k \geq 0} x_k \hbar^k \mapsto x_j$. The space $G_0[\hbar]$ can be considered a graded subspace of $G_\hbar$. We want to extend the algebra structure on $G_0[\hbar]$ to $G_\hbar$. For this task, we need to analyze the compatibility of the product on $G_0[\hbar]$ with the filtration on G_0.

Lemma 48. *We have for all $j, p \geq 0$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$*

(1) $p_j(G_0^n \cdot \mathcal{F}^p G_0^m) \subset \mathcal{F}^p G_0^{n+m}$
(2) $p_j(\mathcal{F}^p G_0^n \cdot G_0^m) \subset \mathcal{F}^{p+m} G_0^{n+m}$

Proof. Consider $x = a \otimes u \cdot b \otimes v \in G_0^n \cdot G_0^m$ where $a \in G_-^{n-l}, u \in G_+^l, b \in G_-^{m-k}$ and $v \in G_+^k$ for some $l, k \geq 0$. Then

$$p_j(a \otimes u \cdot b \otimes v) = \sum_{b'u'} \pm ab' \otimes u'v$$

where u' and b' arise from u and b by deleting j matching pairs (e, e^*) where $e \in \mathcal{N}$ is a factor in b and $e^* \in \mathcal{N}^*$ is a factor in u of opposite degree. The sum is finite.

To prove the first statement, it suffices to note that $\deg u' \geq 0$ and $k \geq p$. For the second statement we note that $\deg u' + \deg b' = \deg u + \deg b$ and hence we can estimate $\deg u' + \deg v \geq \deg u' + \deg b' + \deg v = \deg u + \deg b + \deg v = l + m - k + k \geq p + m$. □

Lemma 49. *The product (and hence also the commutator) extend to the completion turning $(G_\hbar, \cdot)$ into a graded algebra.*

Proof. First we consider $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^n)_p \in G^n$ and $y = (y_p + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^m)_p \in G^m$. By lemma 48 the limit $\lim_{p\to\infty} x_p \cdot y_p \in H^{n+m}$ is well-defined and the definition $x \cdot p = \lim_{p\to\infty} x_p \cdot y_p$ does not depend on the choice of representatives x_p, y_p. The multiplication extends to $G_\hbar$ by bi-linearity in $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$. □

Remark 50. More explicitly, we have $x \cdot y = \sum_j (p_j(x_{p-m} \cdot y_p) + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^{n+m})_p \hbar^j$ for $x \in G^n$ and $y \in G^m$ and thus for general $x = \sum_j x^{(j)} \hbar^j$ and $y = \sum_k y^{(k)} \hbar^k$ with $x^{(j)} = (x_p^{(j)} + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^n)_p$ and $y^{(k)} = (y_p^{(k)} + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^m)_p$ we obtain the formula

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{k} p_l \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{k-l} x_{p-m}^{(j)} y_p^{(k-l-j)} \Big) + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^{n+m} \Bigg)_p \hbar^k.$$

Lemma 51. *The product on $G_\hbar^n \times G_\hbar^m$ is continuous in each entry.*

Proof. Let $x_r, x \in G_\hbar^n$ with $x_r \to x$ and $y \in G_\hbar^m$. Write $p_j(x_r) = (x_{r,p}^{(j)} + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^n)_p$, $p_j(x) = (x_p^{(j)} + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^n)_p$, and $p_j(y) = (y_p^{(j)} + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^m)_p$. Fix $j, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Take r_0 such that for all $0 \leq k \leq j$ and all $r \geq r_0$ we have $x_{r,p-m}^{(k)} \equiv x_{p-m}^{(k)} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{p-m} G_0^n}$. Such r_0 exists since $x_r \to x$. For $r \geq r_0$ we have by lemma 48 and remark 50,

$$p_j(x_r \cdot y) - p_j(x \cdot y) = \Bigg(\sum_{l=0}^{j} p_l \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{j-l} (x_{r,p-m}^{(k)} - x_{p-m}^{(k)}) \cdot y_p^{(j-l-k)} \Big) + \mathcal{F}^p G_0^{n+m} \Bigg)_p = 0$$

Continuity in the other entry follows analogously. □

Now that we have set up the algebra, we define the quantization mapping. We already have the map $q_0 : X_0 \to G_0$. Since this map respects the respective filtrations, we obtain the quantization mapping

$$q : X \to G \subset G_\hbar$$

as an extension. It is a morphism of graded vector spaces.

Lemma 52. *The map $q : X \to G$ is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.*

Proof. The inverse of q_0 also respects the filtrations and hence extends to a map $G \to X$ which is the inverse of q. □

To relate the multiplicative structure on X to the one on $G_\hbar$, we set $A = G_\hbar/(\hbar)$ where $(\hbar) \subset G_\hbar$ is the two sided ideal generated by $\hbar$. Hence $A \cong G$ as graded vector spaces but not as graded algebras since G is not closed under multiplication.

Remark 53. We have $[G_\hbar, G_\hbar] \subset (\hbar)$. Hence $(\hbar)$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the commutator. Hence the map $\frac{1}{\hbar}[-,-] : G_\hbar \otimes G_\hbar \to G_\hbar$ is well-defined and turns $G_\hbar$ into a graded noncommutative Poisson algebra. This map descends to A.

Theorem 54. *The graded (commutative) Poisson algebras X and A are isomorphic via the map $\phi = \pi \circ \iota \circ q : X \to G \to G_\hbar \to A$, where $\pi : G_\hbar \to A = G_\hbar/(\hbar)$ is the canonical projection and $\iota : G \to G_\hbar$ is the inclusion.*

Proof. We already know that ϕ is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces. By remark 53, $A = G_\hbar/[G_\hbar, G_\hbar]$ is graded commutative. Let $x \in X_0^n$ and $y \in X_0^m$. Write $q(x) = x_- \otimes x_+$ and $q(y) = y_- \otimes y_+$. We have $q(xy) = (-1)^{\deg x_+ + \deg y_-} x_- y_- \otimes x_+ y_+$. On the other hand $q(x) \cdot q(y) = (x_- \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes x_+) \cdot (y_- \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes y_+)$. The component of $(1 \otimes x_+) \cdot (y_- \otimes 1)$ constant in $\hbar$ equals $(-1)^{\deg x_+ + \deg y_-} y_- \otimes x_+$. Hence $q(x)q(y) \equiv q(xy) \pmod{\hbar}$. The statement for the completion follows from continuity of q and the product. Next we show: For all $x, y \in X$

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(x), q(y)] \equiv q(\{x, y\}) \qquad (\text{mod } (\hbar)) \tag{3}$$

By density of $X_0 \subset X$ and continuity of all maps involved in the statement it suffices to consider X_0. We perform an induction in the number $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ of factors of x. The anchor $n = 0$ is obvious. We also

need the anchor for $n = 1$: We prove it by induction on the number m of factors in y. For $m = 0$ nothing is to prove. For $m = 1$ the statement holds with equality by construction. Now assume the statement holds for all $x \in \mathrm{Sym}^1(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ and all $y \in \mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$, where $m \geq 1$. Let $x, b \in \mathrm{Sym}^1(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ and $y \in \mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(x), q(by)] &\equiv \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(x), q(b)q(y)] = \frac{1}{\hbar}(q(b)[q(x), q(y)](-1)^{\deg x \deg b} + [q(x), q(b)]q(y)) \\
&\equiv q(b)q(\{x, y\})(-1)^{\deg x \deg b} + q(\{x, b\})q(y) \\
&\equiv q\Big(b\{x, y\}(-1)^{\deg x \deg b} + \{x, b\}y\Big) \\
&\equiv q(\{x, by\}) \pmod{\hbar}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now the statement holds for all $x \in \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$, where $n \geq 0$. Let $x \in \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ and $a \in \mathrm{Sym}^1(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$. Let $y \in X_0$. We compute similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(ax), q(y)] &\equiv \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(a)q(x), q(y)] = \frac{1}{\hbar}q(a)[q(x), q(y)] + \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(a), q(y)]q(x)(-1)^{\deg x \deg y} \\
&\equiv q(a)q(\{x, y\}) + q(\{a, y\})q(x)(-1)^{\deg x \deg y} \\
&\equiv q\Big(a\{x, y\} + \{a, y\}x(-1)^{\deg x \deg y}\Big) \\
&\equiv q(\{ax, y\}) \qquad \pmod{\hbar}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 55. *If $R \in X^1$ solves the classical master equation, then $\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R), q(R)] \equiv 0 \pmod{(\hbar)}$. Conversely, if $r = q(R) + \hbar(\cdots)$ solves the quantum master equation $[r, r] = 0$, then R solves the classical master equation.*

8.2. **Solving the Quantum Master Equation.** We want to construct a solution $r \in G^1_\hbar$ of the quantum master equation $[r, r] = 0$. We seek a solution of the form

$$r = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1) + \hbar^2 q(R_2) + \cdots$$

for some $R_j \in X^1$ where $R \in X^1$ is a given solution of the classical master equation.

Assumption 56. We assume $H^2(X, d_R) = 0$.

8.2.1. *A Differential on the Quantum Algebra.* Define $D = \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R), -]$. This defines a map $G_\hbar \to G_\hbar$ by remark 53. It preserves the ideal $(\hbar)$ and hence descends to a derivation D_0 on $A = G_\hbar/(\hbar)$. We calculate

$$D^2(x) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2}[q(R), [q(R), x]] = \frac{1}{\hbar^2}[[q(R), q(R)], x] - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}[q(R), [q(R), x]]$$

Hence by corollary 55 and remark 53,

$$D^2(x) = \frac{1}{2\hbar}[\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R), q(R)], x] \equiv 0 \qquad \pmod{\hbar} \tag{4}$$

so D_0 is a differential on A.

Theorem 57. *We have $D_0 \circ \phi = \phi \circ d_R$. In particular $\phi : X \to A$ is an isomorphism of differential graded commutative algebras and $H^\bullet(X, d_R) \cong H^\bullet(A, D_0)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$. By theorem 54

$$D_0(\phi(x)) = D_0(\pi(q(x))) = \pi(D(q(x))) = \pi(\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R), q(x)]) = \pi(q(\{R, x\})) = \pi(q(d_R(x))) = \phi(d_R(x))$$

□

Corollary 58. *Under assumption 56, $H^2(A, D_0) = 0$.*

8.2.2. *Construction of a Solution of the Quantum Master Equation.*

Theorem 59. *Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. For $n \geq 1$, assume we have constructed $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n \in X^1$ such that $r_n := q(R) + \sum_{l=1}^n \hbar^l q(R_l)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n] \equiv 0 \pmod{(\hbar^{n+1})}$. For $n = 0$ set $r_n = q(R)$ which also satisfies this assumption by corollary 55. We claim, there exists $R_{n+1} \in X^1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n + \hbar^{n+1}q(R_{n+1}), r_n + \hbar^{n+1}q(R_{n+1})] \equiv 0 \qquad \pmod{(\hbar^{n+2})}$$

Proof. We compute for any $R_{n+1} \in X^1$, using corollary 55,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n + \hbar^{n+1}q(R_{n+1}), r_n + \hbar^{n+1}q(R_{n+1})] \\
=&\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n] + 2\hbar^{n+1}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, q(R_{n+1})] + \hbar^{2n+2}\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R_{n+1}), q(R_{n+1})] \\
\equiv&\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n] + 2\hbar^{n+1}\frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R), q(R_{n+1})] \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ (\hbar^{n+2}))
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption, we can write the right hand side as $\hbar^{n+1}$ times

$$\frac{1}{\hbar^{n+1}}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n] + 2D(q(R_{n+1})) \in H$$

and we want this to be a multiple of $\hbar$. This means we need to show that we can pick $R_{n+1} \in X^1$ such that $\pi(\frac{1}{\hbar^{n+1}}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n]) + 2D_0(\phi(R_{n+1}))$ vanishes in A. By corollary 58 and the fact that ϕ is surjective, it suffices to prove that $\pi(\frac{1}{\hbar^{n+1}}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n])$ is D_0-closed. By the Jacobi identity,

$$0 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2}[r_n, [r_n, r_n]] = D(\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n]) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \hbar^l \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R_l), \frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n]].$$

By the induction assumption we may divide this equation by $\hbar^{n+1}$ to arrive at

$$D(\frac{1}{\hbar^{n+1}}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n]) = -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \hbar^l \frac{1}{\hbar}[q(R_l), \frac{1}{\hbar^{n+1}}\frac{1}{\hbar}[r_n, r_n]] \equiv 0 \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \hbar)$$

□

Hence we have constructed $r = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1) + \cdots$ with $[r, r] = 0$.

8.3. **Uniqueness of the Solution.** In this paragraph we consider questions of uniqueness of solutions of the quantum master equation that arise from quantization of a solution of the classical master equation.

8.3.1. *Quantum Gauge Equivalences.* We define the subspace $K = \{x \in G_\hbar^0 : p_0(x) \in q(I^{(2)})\}$.

Lemma 60. *K is closed under the commutator.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in K$. Theorem 54 allows us to calculate

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}[x, y] \equiv \frac{1}{\hbar}[p_0(x), p_0(y)] \equiv q(\{q^{-1}(p_0(x)), q^{-1}(p_0(x))\}) \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \hbar).$$

By lemma 81, the claim follows. □

We call elements of K generators of quantum gauge equivalences. Typical elements of K are quantizations of generators of classical gauge equivalences or any degree zero multiple of $\hbar$. In order to exponentiate the Lie algebra K to a group acting on $G_\hbar$ by isomorphisms of associative algebras, we show that in each degree in $\hbar$ the Lie algebra L acts pro-nilpotent with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}^p G^n$.

Lemma 61. *We define $\mathrm{ad}_a b = \frac{1}{\hbar}[a, b]$ for $a \in K$ and $b \in G_\hbar$. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{ad}\, K \subset \mathrm{End}(G_\hbar)$ acts pro-nilpotently in each degree of $\hbar$. In particular, it exponentiates to a group of automorphisms of associative algebras.*

Proof. Fix integers $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, take $u_i = u_{i0} + \hbar u_{i1} + \cdots$ where $u_{i0} \in q(I^{(2)})$ and $u_{ij} \in G^0$. Let $x \in G^n$. Fix $l = l_1 + l_k$ with integers $l_i \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_j(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x) \\
=&p_j\Big(\sum_{\substack{j_i:\{1,\ldots,l_i\}\to\mathbb{N}_0 \\ i=1,\ldots,k}} \hbar^{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^{l_i} j_i(s)} \mathrm{ad}_{u_{1j_1(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{1j_1(l_1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{kj_k(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{kj_k(l_k)}}(x)\Big) \\
=&\sum_{\substack{j_i:\{1,\ldots,l_i\}\to\mathbb{N}_0 \\ i=1,\ldots,k \\ n=\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^{l_i} j_i(s) \leq j}} p_{j-n}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_{1j_1(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{1j_1(l_1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{kj_k(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{u_{kj_k(l_k)}}(x))
\end{aligned}$$

This is a finite sum. We now write out each argument of p_{j-n} as a sum of products of the $u_{pq} \in G$. Each such product satisfies the following conditions: It contains $(l+1)$ factors. The factor x appears once. The number of factors u_{pq} with $q \geq 1$ is bounded above by n. Hence the number of factors $u_{i0} \in q(I^{(2)})$

is bounded below by $(l-n)$. Thus the number of positive factors before normal ordering is bounded from below by $2(l-n)$. After normal ordering and applying p_{j-n} the number of positive factors that still remain are bounded from below by $2(l-n)-(j-n) \geq 2(l-j)$. Hence, $p_j(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x)$ is a finite sum of elements in G^n, which contain at least $2(l-j)$ factors of positive degree. This bound is independent of x.

Finally fix $p, j \geq 0$ and let $x = \sum_j x_j \hbar^h \in G_\hbar^n$. We have

$$p_j(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} p_{j-k}(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x_k).$$

Pick l_0 such that for all $m = 0, \ldots, j$, for all $r \geq 0$ and $l = l_1 + \cdots + l_k \geq l_0$ we have $p_m(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x_r) \in \mathcal{F}^p G^n$. Then for all $l = l_1 + \cdots + l_k \geq l_0$ we have $p_j(\mathrm{ad}_{u_1}^{l_1} \cdots \mathrm{ad}_{u_k}^{l_k} x) \in \mathcal{F}^p G^n$. Hence $\mathrm{ad}\, K$ acts pro-nilpotently with respect to this filtration and thus $\mathrm{ad}\, K$ exponentiates to a group of vector space automorphisms $\{\exp \mathrm{ad}_u : G_\hbar \to G_\hbar, u \in K\}$. These maps preserve the multiplicative structure since ad_u is a derivation for the product. □

8.3.2. *Ambiguity for a Given Solution of the Classical Master Equation.* Let $R \in X^1$ be a solution of the classical master equation. Throughout this paragraph we assume

Assumption 62. We have $H^1(X, d_R) = 0$. Thus $H^1(A, D_0) = 0$.

Let

$$r = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1) + \ldots$$
$$r' = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1') + \ldots$$

be two solutions to the quantum master equation, so that $r \equiv r' \pmod{\hbar}$.

Lemma 63. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Assume that for $l = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $R_l = R_l'$. Then there exists a generator $c \in (\hbar^{n+1}) \subset K$ of a quantum gauge equivalence such that $\exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r \equiv r' \pmod{(\hbar^{n+2})}$.*

Proof. Let $v = q(R_{n+1}) - q(R_{n+1}') \in G^1$. Then $0 = [r + r', r - r']$ since r, r' solve the quantum master equation. Moreover, $r - r' \equiv \hbar^{n+1} v \pmod{\hbar^{n+2}}$. Hence

$$0 = \frac{1}{\hbar}[r + r', v + \hbar \cdots] \equiv \frac{1}{\hbar}[2q(R), v] \equiv 2Dv \pmod{\hbar}$$

Thus $D_0 \pi v = 0$. Hence by assumption 62, $\pi v = D_0 \pi u$ for some $u \in G_\hbar^0$, so $v \equiv Du \pmod{\hbar}$. Since $v \in G^1$ is constant in $\hbar$, we may also assume $u \in G^0$. Set $c = \hbar^{n+1} u \in K$. We check that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r - r' &= r - r' + \frac{1}{\hbar}[c, r] + \sum_{l=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \mathrm{ad}_c^l\, r \\ &\equiv \hbar^{n+1}(v - \frac{1}{\hbar}[r, u]) \equiv \hbar^{n+1}(v - Du) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\hbar^{n+2})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 64. *Under assumption 62, there is a quantum gauge equivalence mapping r to r'.*

Proof. By lemma 63, there exists a sequence of generators $c_j \in (\hbar^{j+1}) \subset K$ of quantum gauge equivalences $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{c_j}$ which define a sequence $r_{(j)}$ of solutions of the quantum master equation via $r_{(0)} = r$ and $r_{(j+1)} = \exp \mathrm{ad}_{c_j} r_{(j)}$, so that $r_{(j+1)} \equiv r' \pmod{\hbar^{j+2}}$. We have

$$r_{(j+1)} = \exp \mathrm{ad}_{c_j} \exp \mathrm{ad}_{c_{j-1}} \cdots \exp \mathrm{ad}_{c_0}\, r = \exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_j}\, r,$$

for some $\gamma_j \in K$. We are left to show that $\gamma_j \to \gamma \in K$ and $\exp \mathrm{ad}_\gamma\, r = r'$. By the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula, we have $\gamma_0 = c_0$ and $\gamma_{j+1} = \gamma_j + c_{j+1} + \cdots$, where the terms we have dropped involve sums of nested commutators $\frac{1}{\hbar}[-,-]$, each of which containing at least one $c_{j+1} \in (\hbar^{j+2})$. Hence, $\gamma_{j+1} \equiv \gamma_j \pmod{\hbar^{j+2}}$ and thus $\lim \gamma_j = \gamma \in K$ exists.

Finally, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We will prove that $p_k(\exp \mathrm{ad}_\gamma\, r - r') = 0$. We already know that $p_k(\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}\, r - r') = 0$ since $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}\, r = r_{(k)}$. Hence it suffices to prove that $p_k(\exp \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}\, r - \exp \mathrm{ad}_\gamma\, r) = 0$. We show by induction in $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that $\mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}^l\, r \equiv \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^l\, r \pmod{\hbar^{k+1}}$. The case $l = 0$ is trivial. Now suppose the statement holds for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}^{l+1}\, r - \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^{l+1}\, r &= \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}(\mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}}^l\, r - \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^l\, r + \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^l\, r) - \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^{l+1}\, r \\ &\equiv \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}} \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^l\, r - \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^{l+1}\, r = \mathrm{ad}_{\gamma_{k-1}-\gamma} \mathrm{ad}_\gamma^l\, r \equiv 0 \pmod{\hbar^{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma \equiv \gamma_{k-1} \pmod{\hbar^{k+1}}$. □

8.3.3. *Ambiguity for Two Classical Solutions Corresponding to the Same Tate Resolution.* Let R, R' be two solutions of the classical master equation associated to the same Tate resolution. Let

$$r = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1) + \cdots$$
$$r' = q(R') + \hbar q(R'_1) + \cdots$$

be two solutions of the quantum master equation.

Theorem 65. *If either of the two solutions R, R' of the classical master equation satisfy assumption 62, then there is a quantum gauge equivalence mapping r to r'.*

Proof. By theorem 24, there exists a classical gauge equivalence $g = \exp \mathrm{ad}_u$ mapping R to R'. In particular, assumption 62 is satisfied for both solutions. We have $c = q(u) \in K$. Set $r'' = \exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r$. It is a solution of the quantum master equation. We first prove that $r'' \equiv r' \pmod{\hbar}$. We have

$$r'' - r' = \exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r - r' \equiv \exp \mathrm{ad}_{q(u)}\, q(R) - q(R') \pmod{\hbar}$$

Now we prove by induction in $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that $\mathrm{ad}^l_{q(u)}\, q(R) \equiv q(\mathrm{ad}^l_u\, R) \pmod{\hbar}$. For $l = 0$ this is obvious. Suppose it holds for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, by equation 3,

$$\mathrm{ad}^{l+1}_{q(u)}\, q(R) = \mathrm{ad}_{q(u)}\, \mathrm{ad}^l_{q(u)}\, q(R) \equiv \mathrm{ad}_{q(u)}\, q(\mathrm{ad}^l_u\, R) \equiv q(\mathrm{ad}^{l+1}_u\, R) \pmod{\hbar}.$$

We now have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{1}{l!} \mathrm{ad}^l_{q(u)}\, q(R) - q(R') \equiv q\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{1}{l!} \mathrm{ad}^l_{c_0}\, R - R' \Big) \pmod{\hbar}$$

For $L \to +\infty$ the left hand side converges to $\exp \mathrm{ad}_{q(u)}\, q(R) - q(R')$, and the argument of q on the right hand side converges to zero. By continuity of q and $(\hbar)$ being closed, we conclude $r'' \equiv r' \pmod{\hbar}$.

We are now in the situation

$$r = q(R) + \hbar q(R_1) + \cdots$$
$$r' = q(R') + \hbar q(R'_1) + \cdots$$
$$r'' = \exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r = q(R') + \hbar q(R''_1) + \cdots .$$

By theorem 64, there exists a quantum gauge equivalence $\exp \mathrm{ad}_v$ with $\exp \mathrm{ad}_v\, r'' = r'$. In particular, $r' = \exp \mathrm{ad}_v \exp \mathrm{ad}_c\, r$. □

8.3.4. *Quantization of Trivial BRST Models.* Let (Y, S) be a trivial BRST model, so $Y = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^*)$ for some negatively graded vector space $\mathcal{N}$ with finite-dimensional homogeneous components and $S = \sum_j e_j^* \delta(e_j)$ with $\delta(e_j) = e_k$ for some k depending on j. Let $q : Y \to G$ denote the quantization map. Then $s = q(S)$ solves the quantum master equation. Moreover, $D_s = \frac{1}{\hbar}[s, -]$ maps G_0 to G_0 and G to G, as can be seen using the Leibnitz rule. Hence both $q_0 : Y_0 \to G_0$ and $Y \to G$ are isomorphisms of differential graded vector spaces. In particular $H^j(G_0, D_s) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ and $H^0(G_0, D_s) = \mathbb{R}$ by lemma 27.

8.3.5. *Quantization of Products With Trivial BRST Models.* Let (X, R) be a BRST model and (Y, S) be a trivial BRST model. Consider quantizations $q : X \to F \subset F_\hbar$ and $q : Y \to G \subset G_\hbar$ with associated solutions of the quantum master equation $s = q(S)$ and $r = q(R) + \hbar \cdots$, respectively. Write $F_0 = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N} *)$ for some non-positively graded vector space $\mathcal{N}$ and $G_0 = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{U}^*)$ for some negatively graded vector space $\mathcal{U}$. Let $Z = X \hat{\otimes} Y$ and $q : Z \to H \subset H_\hbar$ be the quantization obtained from the splitting $H_0 = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{N}^* \oplus \mathcal{U}^*)$.

Lemma 66. *The natural map $F_\hbar \to H_\hbar$ is a quasi-isomorphism of graded associative algebras.*

Proof. The natural map is a morphism of graded associative algebras since adding new variables from $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}^*$ does not change the rules defining normal ordering in $F_\hbar$.

Consider the isomorphism $\phi_0 : F_0 \otimes G_0 \to H_0$ of graded vector spaces. It extends $\hbar$-linearly to an isomorphism

$$\phi : F_0[\hbar] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[\hbar]} G_0[\hbar] \to H_0[\hbar]$$

of graded associative algebras. On the left hand side we may take the tensor product of algebras, since elements of F_0 and G_0 commute.

Using this isomorphism, we construct the $\hbar$-linear maps

$$\begin{aligned}\iota :&F_0[\hbar] \to F_0[\hbar] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[\hbar]} G_0[\hbar] \to H_0[\hbar]\\ p :&H_0[\hbar] \to F_0[\hbar] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[\hbar]} G_0[\hbar] \to F_0[\hbar]\end{aligned}$$

where the last arrow takes $f \otimes g \in F_0 \otimes G_0$ to $f\pi(g)$. Here $\pi : G_0 \to G_0$ is the projection onto $\mathbb{R}$ along elements of nonzero form degree.

The quantization map $q : Y_0 \to G_0$ is compatible with the decompositions $Y_0 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{j>0} \mathrm{Sym}^j(\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U}^*)$ and $G_0 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{p+q>0} \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^q(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, it intertwines the differentials d_S on Y_0 and D_s on G_0. Hence the situation of the proof of lemma 29 is established in the quantum version as well. We conclude that ι and p extend $\hbar$-linearly to the respective completions, descend to cohomology, and induce mutual inverses on cohomology. □

8.3.6. *Relating Arbitrary BRST Models.* In order to relate the quantizations of two BRST charges defining BRST-models for the same ideal, we need to quantize general automorphisms of the space X that are the identity on $P = \mathbb{R}[V]$ modulo I (see lemma 31). Since the quantization procedure is not functorial, we do not directly obtain an isomorphism of differential graded algebras on the quantum level. We were unable to rigorously define a quantum analog to such an isomorphism.

Appendix A. Graded Poisson Algebras

Let $(P, [-,-]_0)$ be a unital Poisson algebra over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a negatively graded vector space with finite-dimensional homogeneous components. Let $\mathcal{M}^*$ be the positively graded vector space with homogeneous components $(M^*)^i = (M^{-i})^*$. Define the graded algebra $X_0 = P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$.

Lemma 67. *The bracket $\{-,-\}_0$ on P naturally extends to a skew-symmetric, bilinear map $\{-,-\}$ on X_0 via the natural pairing of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$. This map has degree zero. Moreover, it is a derivation for the product on X_0 and satisfies the Jacobi identity. Thus, it turns X_0 into a graded Poisson algebra.*

Proof. First we define a bracket on $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$. For $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^*$ we set

$$\{x,x\}_1 = 0, \qquad \{\alpha,\alpha\}_1 = 0, \qquad \{x,\alpha\}_1 = \alpha(x), \qquad \{\alpha,x\}_1 = -(-1)^{\deg\alpha \deg x}\alpha(x)$$

and extend this definition as a bi-derivation to all of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$. It is then a bilinear, skew-symmetric map $\{-,-\}_1 : \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*) \times \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*) \to \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*)$ of degree zero which is by definition a derivation for the product. The expression

$$\zeta(a,b,c) := (-1)^{\deg a \deg c}\{a,\{b,c\}\} + \text{ cyclic permutations}$$

satisfies $\zeta(a_1a_2,b,c) = (-1)^{\deg a_1 \deg c}a_1\zeta(a_2,b,c) + (-1)^{\deg a_2 \deg b}\zeta(a_1,b,c)a_2$ and similar derivation-like statements for the other entries. Let $\{e_j\}$ be a homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\{e_j^*\}$ its dual basis. Then the bracket of any two of those generators is a scalar, whence ζ is zero on generators. By the above derivation-type property, ζ vanishes identically, proving the graded Jacobi identity.

Now set $\{-,-\} = \{-,-\}_0 + \{-,-\}_1$ on X_0. □

The grading on $\mathcal{M}$ induces a grading on X_0. We obtain a filtration: $\mathcal{F}^n X_0$ is defined to be the ideal generated by elements of X_0 of degree at least n. We set $\mathcal{F}^n X_0^m = \mathcal{F}^n X_0 \cap X_0^m$. We also define $I_0 := \mathcal{F}^1 X_0$ and $I_0^{(n)} := I_0 \cdots I_0$ to be the n-fold product ideal.

A.1. **Compatibility of Filtration and Bracket on X_0.** We use the derivation properties of the bracket on X_0 to derive compatibility relations between the filtration and the bracket.

Lemma 68. *For $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $\{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^n, \mathcal{F}^q X_0^m\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{r_{n,m}(p,q)} X_0^{m+n}$ where*

$$r_{n,m}(p,q) = \max\{m+n, \min\{\max\{p,q+n\}, \max\{q,p+m\}\}\}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $a,b,u,v \in X_0$ be homogeneous elements with $\deg a + \deg u = n$, $\deg b + \deg v = m$, $\deg u = p$, and $\deg v = q$. Suppose without loss of generality that $p \geqslant n$ and $q \geqslant m$. Then

$$\{au,bv\} = \pm ab\{u,v\} \pm av\{u,b\} \pm ub\{a,v\} \pm uv\{a,b\}.$$

We now combine different factors to construct elements of high degree using the fact that the bracket has degree zero. The first summand is in $\mathcal{F}^{p+q} X_0$. The second summand is in $\mathcal{F}^{\max\{q,p+m\}} X_0$. The third summand is in $\mathcal{F}^{\max\{p,n+q\}} X_0$. Finally, the last summand is again in $\mathcal{F}^{p+q} X_0$. So the whole sum is in $\mathcal{F}^r X_0$ where $r = \min\{p+q, \max\{p,q+n\}, \max\{q,p+m\}\} = \min\{\max\{p,q+n\}, \max\{q,p+m\}\}$. □

Corollary 69. *We obtain for $p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

1. $\{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^1, \mathcal{F}^q X_0^1\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{l(p,q)} X_0$, *where* $l(p,q) = \begin{cases} \max\{p,q\}, & \text{if } p \neq q \\ p+1, & \text{if } p = q \end{cases}$.
2. $\{\mathcal{F}^p X_0, X_0^m\} \subset \mathcal{F}^p X_0$ *provided* $m \geqslant 0$.
3. $\{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^n, X_0^m\} \cup \{X_0^n, \mathcal{F}^p X_0^m\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{t_{n,m}(p)} X_0^{n+m}$, *where* $t_{n,m}(p) = p - \max\{|n|,|m|\}$.

Lemma 70. *We have $\{X_0^1 \cap I_0^{(2)}, \mathcal{F}^m X_0\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{m+1} X_0$.*

Proof. Let $a,u_1,u_2 \in X_0$ with $\deg(a) = 1-n$, $\deg(u_1) + \deg(u_2) = n$, and $\deg(u_1), \deg(u_2) > 0$. Let $b,v \in X_0$ with $\deg(v) = m$. $\{au_1u_2, bv\} = au_1\{u_2,b\}v \pm au_2\{u_1,b\}v \pm u_1u_2\{a,b\}v \pm \{au_1u_2,v\}b \in \mathcal{F}^{m+1} X_0$. □

Lemma 71. *The ideal I_0 is closed under the bracket.*

Proof. Let $a,u,b,v \in X_0$ with $\deg(u) = \deg(v) = 1$. Then $\{au,bv\} = \pm(ab)\{u,v\} \pm (a\{u,b\})v \pm (\{a,v\}b)u \pm (\{a,b\}u)v \in I_0$. □

A.2. **Completion.** For each j, we use the filtration on X_0^j to complete this space to the space

$$X^j = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{X_0^j}{\mathcal{F}^p X_0 \cap X_0^j}.$$

The sum and scalar multiplication on X_0^j extend to this space, turning $X = \bigoplus_j X^j$ into a graded vector space. The product of two elements $(x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j$ and $(y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p \in X^k$ is defined to be $(x_p y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^{j+k})_p \in X^{j+k}$. This definition does not depend on the choice of representatives since the product is compatible with the filtration. Moreover, it defines an element of X^{j+k} since for $p \leqslant q$ we have $x_p y_p \equiv x_q y_q \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^{j+k}}$, since we may shift the representatives of x and y. The multiplication is compatible with the addition turning X into a graded commutative algebra.

Endow $X_0^j/\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j$ with the discrete topology and $\prod_p X_0^j/\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j$ with the product topology. Equip $\lim_{\leftarrow} X_0^j/\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j \subset \prod_p X_0^j/\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j$ with the subspace topology. Finally, equip $X = \bigoplus_j X^j$ with the product topology. Hence a sequence $\{x_l\}_l \subset X^j$, with $x_l = (x_{l,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$, converges to an element $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j$ if and only if for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a l_0 such that for all $l \geqslant l_0$ we have $x_{p,l} \equiv x_p \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j}$. A sequence $\{x_l\}_l \subset X$ converges to an element $x \in X$ if and only if all homogeneous components converge. Since X is first-countable, continuity is characterized by the convergence of sequences. We immediately obtain:

Lemma 72. *The sum $X \times X \to X$ is continuous.*

For the product, only a weaker statement holds in general:

Lemma 73. *The product $X \to X$ is continuous in each entry. For each pair $(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the product $X^j \times X^k \to X^{j+k}$ is continuous.*

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{x_i\}_i$ in X converging to $x \in X$ and fix $y \in X$. Denote the homogeneous components of x_i by $x_i^j = (x_{i,p}^j + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$ and similarly for x and y. Fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The l-th homogeneous component of $x_i y$ has a p-th component with representative $\sum_{j \in C} x_{i,p}^{l-j} y_p^j$ where the $C \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is the finite set for which $y^j \neq 0$. It does not depend on i. (Such a finite set which is independent of i only exists in general when one entry of the product remains fixed.) We have

$$\sum_{j \in C} x_{i,p}^{l-j} y_p^j = \sum_{j \in C} \left((x_{i,p}^{l-j} - x_p^{l-j}) y_p^j + x_p^{l-j} y_p^j \right)$$

For each $j \in C$ pick a number $i_{0,j}$ such that for $i_j \geqslant i_{0,j}$ we have $x_{i_j,p}^{l-j} \equiv x_p^{l-j} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^{l-j}}$ and let i_0 be their maximum. Now, for $i \geqslant i_0$, we have $\sum_{j \in C} x_{i,p}^{l-j} y_p^j \equiv \sum_{j \in C} x_p^{l-j} y_p^j \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^l}$. The second statement follows similarly. □

Next, we approximate elements in X by elements in X_0.

Lemma 74. *The map $\iota : X_0^n \longrightarrow X^n$ sending $x \in X_0^n$ to $(x + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p$ is injective.*

Proof. Since ι is linear, the claim follows from $\bigcap_p \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n = 0$. □

Lemma 75. *For $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p \in X_0^n$ we have $\lim_{m \to \infty} \iota(x_m) = x$.*

Proof. Fix p. For $m \geqslant p$ we have that the p-th component of $\iota(x_m) - x$ is $x_m - x_p \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$. □

Corollary 76. *X_0 can be considered a dense subset of X.*

Now, we turn to the extension of the bracket to the completion. Let $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j$ and $y = (y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p \in X^k$. We define $\{x, y\} \in X^{j+k}$ to be the element

$$(\{x_{s_{j,k}(p)}, y_{s_{j,k}(p)}\} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^{j+k})_p,$$

where $s_{j,k}(p) := p + \max\{|j|, |k|\}$. This definition does not depend on the representatives of x and y by corollary 69, since $t_{j,k}(s_{j,k}(p)) = s_{j,k}(t_{j,k}(p)) = p$. Moreover, it defines an element of X^{j+k}: For $p \leqslant q$ we have $\{x_{s_{j,k}(p)}, y_{s_{j,k}(p)}\} \equiv \{x_{s_{j,k}(q)}, y_{s_{j,k}(q)}\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{s_{j,k}(p)}}$ since we may shift the representatives of x and y. We extend this bracket as a bilinear map to $X \times X$.

Lemma 77. *The extension of the bracket on X_0 is a skew-symmetric, bilinear, degree zero map on X that satisfies the graded Jacobi identity (i.e. the bracket is an odd derivation for itself).*

Proof. It is trivial that the extended bracket is a skew-symmetric, bilinear degree zero map. These properties follow directly from the definitions.

We prove the graded Jacobi identity. Consider elements

$$x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j \qquad y = (y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p \in X^k \qquad z = (z_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^l)_p \in X^l.$$

The p-th element of $\{y, z\}$ has representative $\{y_{s_{k,l}(p)}, z_{s_{k,l}(p)}\}$. Hence the p-th element of $\{x, \{y, z\}\}$ has representative $\{x_{s_{j,k+l}(p)}, \{y_{s_{k,l}(s_{j,k+l}(p))}, z_{s_{k,l}(s_{j,k+l}(p))}\}\}$. We now want to bound the indices from above by a term which is invariant under cyclic permutations of (j, k, l). The function $r_{j,k,l}(p) := p+2(|j|+|k|+|l|)$ does the job. So, $(-1)^{jl}\{x, \{y, z\}\} + (-1)^{kj}\{y, \{z, x\}\} + (-1)^{lk}\{z, \{x, y\}\}$ has representative

$$(-1)^{jl}\{x_{r_{j,k,l}(p)}, \{y_{r_{j,k,l}(p)}, z_{r_{j,k,l}(p)}\}\} + \text{cyclic permutations}$$

which vanishes by the graded Jacobi identity on X_0. □

Lemma 78. *The bracket on X is a derivation for the product.*

Proof. Let

$$x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j \qquad y = (y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p \in X^k \qquad z = (z_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^l)_p \in X^l.$$

The p-th element of $\{xy, z\} - (x\{y, z\} + (-1)^{jk} y\{x, z\})$ has representative

$$\begin{aligned} &\{x_{s_{j+k,l}(p)} y_{s_{j+k,l}(p)}, z_{s_{j+k,l}(p)}\} - \left(x_p\{y_{s_{k,l}(p)}, z_{s_{k,l}(p)}\} + (-1)^{jk} y_p\{x_{s_{j,l}(p)}, z_{s_{j,l}(p)}\}\right) \\ &\equiv \{x_q y_q, z_q\} - (x_q\{y_q, z_q\} + (-1)^{jk} y_q\{x_q, z_q\}) \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^{j+k+l}} \end{aligned}$$

where $q := p + |m| + |n| + |k|$ is a common upper bound of all indices appearing in the formula. The last line vanishes by the derivation property of the bracket on X_0. □

Lemma 79. *For each pair $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the map $\{-,-\} : X^j \times X^k \to X$ is continuous. The map $\{-,-\} : X \times X \to X$ is continuous in each entry.*

Proof. Let $x_n = (x_{n,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j$ and $y_n = (y_{n,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p \in X^k$ define two sequences converging to the respective elements $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p \in X^j$ and $y = (y_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k) \in X^k$. Fix p, set $s = s_{j,k}(p)$, and pick n_0 such that for $n \geqslant n_0$,

$$x_{n,s} \equiv x_s \pmod{\mathcal{F}^s X_0^j} \qquad y_{m,s} \equiv y_s \pmod{\mathcal{F}^s X_0^k}$$

Let $n \geqslant n_0$. The p-th element of $\{x_n, y_n\} - \{x, y\}$ has the representative $\{x_{n,s}, y_{n,s}\} - \{x_s, y_s\} \in \mathcal{F}^{t_{j,k}(s)} \subset \mathcal{F}^p X_0^{j+k}$ by corollary 69.

Now consider a sequence $\{x_i\}_i$ in X converging to $x \in X$ and fix $y \in X$. Denote the homogeneous components of x_i by $x_i^j = (x_{i,p}^j + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$ and similarly for x and y. Fix $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Set $C = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : y^j \neq 0\}$. This is a finite set. The l-th homogeneous component of $\{x_i, y\}$ has a p-th component with representative

$$\sum_{j \in C} \{x^{l-j}_{i, s_{l-j,j}(p)}, y^j_{s_{l-j,j}(p)}\}$$

Set $s = \max\{s_{l-j,j}(p) : j \in C\}$ and pick n_0 such that for $n \geqslant n_0$ and all $j \in C$ we have $x_{n,s}^{l-j} \equiv x_s^{l-j} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^s X_0^{l-j}}$. For such n,

$$\sum_{j \in C} \{x^{l-j}_{n, s_{l-j,j}(p)}, y^j_{s_{l-j,j}(p)}\} \equiv \sum_{j \in C} \{x^{l-j}_{n,s}, y^j_s\} \equiv \sum_{j \in C} \{x^{l-j}_s, y^j_s\} \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^l}$$

□

A.3. **The Filtration and the Bracket on the Completion.** The filtration on X_0 induces a filtration on the completion with homogeneous components

$$\mathcal{F}^p X^n := \lim_{\leftarrow q} \frac{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^n}{\mathcal{F}^{p+q} X_0^n} = \{(x_q + \mathcal{F}^q X_0^n)_{q \geqslant p} \in X^n : x_q \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n\}.$$

This defines a homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{F}^p X = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{F}^p X^n$ in X. We set $I = \mathcal{F}^1 X$ and

$$I^{(n)} = \bigoplus_m \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{X_0^m \cap I_0^{(n)}}{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^m \cap I_0^{(n)}}.$$

Those are homogeneous ideals in X.

Lemma 80. *For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sets $\mathcal{F}^p X^j$ and $I^{(2)} \cap X^j$ are closed.*

Proof. Consider the first statement. Since X is first-countable, it suffices to consider sequences $x_n = (x_{n,q} + \mathcal{F}^q X_0^j)_q$ converging to an $x = (x_q + \mathcal{F}^q X_0^j)_q$ in X with $x_{n,q} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j$ and show that $x \in \mathcal{F}^p X^j$. So, fix $q \geqslant p$. Let n be an integer with $x_{n,q} \equiv x_q \pmod{\mathcal{F}^q X_0^j}$. Then $x_q \equiv x_{n,q} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^j}$.

Now let $x_n = (x_{n,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$ be a sequence converging to $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$ in X with $x_{n,p} \in I_0^{(2)}$. Fix p. For n large enough we may replace x_p by $x_{n,p} \in I_0^{(2)}$. □

Lemma 81. *Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

(1) $\{I^{(2)}, I^{(2)}\} \subset I^{(2)}$.
(2) $\{I^{(2)}, I^{(p)}\} \subset I^{(p+1)} \subset \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$
(3) $\{I^{(p)}, X^1\} \subset I^{(p)}$.

Proof. The first statement: Consider elements $u = (u_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^j)_p$ and $v = (v_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^k)_p$ of X with $u_p, v_p \in I_0^{(2)}$. Then the p-th element of $\{u, v\}$ has the representative $\{u_{s_{j,k}(p)}, v_{s_{j,k}(p)}\} \in \{I_0^{(2)}, I_0^{(2)}\} \subset I_0^{(2)}$ by the Leibnitz rule.

Now the second statement: First consider $p = 0$. Then by the Leibnitz rule, $\{I_0^{(2)}, X_0\} \subset I_0\{I_0, X_0\} \subset I_0$. Now consider $p > 0$. By repeated use of the Leibnitz rule

$$\{I_0^{(2)}, I_0^{(p)}\} \subset \{I_0^{(2)}, I_0\} I_0^{(p-1)} \subset I_0\{I_0, I_0\} I_0^{(p-1)} \subset I_0^{(p+1)}$$

by lemma 71. The statement generalizes to the completion, as in the case above.

The third statement follows analogously by picking representatives. □

Lemma 82. *Let $l \mapsto q(l)$ define an unbounded non-decreasing function $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_l = (x_{l,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p \in \mathcal{F}^{q(l)} X^n$ define a sequence of elements in X^n. Then $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_l$ converges to an element $x \in X^n$.*

Proof. We may suppose $q(l) = l$. Define $x_p := \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} x_{l,p}$. Then $x := (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p$ defines an element of X^n since, for $p \leqslant q$, we have

$$x_q - x_p = \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} x_{l,q} - \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} x_{l,p} = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} (x_{l,q} - x_{l,p}) + \sum_{l=p}^{q-1} x_{l,q} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n.$$

We claim that $\sum_{l=0}^{k} x_l$ converges to x as $k \to \infty$. Fix p. Let $k \geqslant k_0 := p$. Then the p-th element of $\sum_{l=0}^{k} x_l - x$ has representative $\sum_{l=0}^{k} x_{l,p} - x_p = \sum_{l=p}^{k} x_{l,p} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$. □

Lemma 83. *Each $H \in X^n$ can be expanded as $H = \sum_{p \geq 0} h_p$ with $h_p \in B^p \otimes_P T^{n-p}$.*

Proof. Write $H = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p$ with $x_0 = 0$. Pick a homogeneous basis e_i of the underlying graded vector space. Redefine x_p such that x_p does not contain a monomial in $\mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$. Set $h_p = x_{p+1} - x_p \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$. It cannot contain a monomial of degree $(p+1)$ or higher. Hence $h_p \in B^p \otimes_P T^{n-p}$. Then by lemmas 75 and 82, $\sum_p h_p = H$. □

Lemma 84. *All statements from section A.1 are valid for X_0 replaced by X.*

Proof. The bracket on X is defined by acting on representatives with the bracket of X_0 where the statements hold. □

A.4. **Extension of Maps.** Next, we consider the problem of extending maps on X_0 to X.

Remark 85. A linear map on X_0 of a fixed degree preserving the filtration up to a fixed shift naturally extends to a linear map on X preserving the filtration up to the same shift. This extension is continuous.

A.5. **The Associated Graded.** The associated graded $\operatorname{gr} X$ of X is defined as the graded algebra with homogeneous components $\operatorname{gr}^p X = \mathcal{F}^p X \big/ \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X$. We have $\operatorname{gr}^0 X = X/I$.

Lemma 86. *X/I is naturally identified with $P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_p + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p \in X^n$. Let $u_p \in I_0$ and $z_p \in X_0^n$ such that $x_p = u_p + z_p$ and z_p does not contain a summand in I_0 (or is zero), i.e. $z_p \in \operatorname{Sym}_P(\mathcal{M})$. Then $z_p - z_1 = x_p - x_1 - (u_p - u_1) \in I_0$. Hence $z_p = z_1$ for all p. Hence $z := (z_1 + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p \in X^n$ and x define the same equivalence class in X/I. It is clear that different values of z_1 yield different equivalence classes. □

Lemma 87. *There is a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{gr}^\bullet X \cong B^\bullet \otimes_P T$ of graded algebras.*

Proof. The inclusions $B^p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^p X$ induce a P-linear map $B \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr} X$. From this, we obtain a map $B \otimes_P T \to \operatorname{gr} X$ via $B^p \otimes_P T \ni b \otimes t \mapsto bt \in \operatorname{gr}^p X$. The claim follows since the monomials in B^p span the free T-module $\operatorname{gr}^p X$: The image of linearly independent monomials in B^p under the above map is obviously T-linearly independent. Now for a given $x \in \mathcal{F}^p X$ decompose it into homogeneous elements $x^n = (x_{n,q} + \mathcal{F}^q X_0^n)_q \in \mathcal{F}^p X^n$. Split $x_{n,q} = b_{n,q} + y_{n,q}$ with $y_{n,q} \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X_0^n$ and $b_{n,q} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$ does not contain a summand in $\mathcal{F}^{p+1} X_0^n$. Then $b_{n,q} - b_{n,p+1} \in \mathcal{F}^{p+1} X_0^n$ for $q > p$, and hence this difference vanishes. Set $b^n = (b_{n,p+1} + \mathcal{F}^q X_0^n)_q$. We have that b^n and x^n define the same equivalence class in $\operatorname{gr}^p X^n$ and hence $b = \sum_n b^n$ and x define the same equivalence class in $\operatorname{gr}^p X$. Each b^n is in the image of $B^p \otimes_P T \to \operatorname{gr}^p X$. □

A.6. **Form Degree.** We can filter the algebra X by form degree. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we set $X_0^{n,j} = P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^j(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*) \cap X_0^n$ and define the homogeneous components of $X^{(j)} = \bigoplus_n X^{n,j}$ to be

$$X^{n,j} = \lim_{\leftarrow p} \frac{X_0^{n,j}}{\mathcal{F}^p X_0^n \cap X_0^{n,j}}$$

We have

Lemma 88. *If $x_j \in X^n$ have form degree j then $\sum_j x_j$ converges in X.*

Proof. Fix n. Let g denote the ghost degree and a the anti-ghost degree. This means that $g = \deg$ on (homogeneous elements in) $P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and $g = 0$ on $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$. Similarly $a = \deg$ on $P \otimes \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M})$ and $a = 0$ on $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{M}^*)$. Hence $g \geqslant 0$, $a \leqslant 0$ and $a + g = \deg$. We decompose a summand $s \in X_0^{n,j}$ of a representative of x_j as $s = a_j \otimes x_{j,1} \dots x_{j,j} \in X_0^{n,j}$ according to form degree. Let l_j be the number of factors of positive degree in this decomposition. Then $g(x_j) \geqslant l_j$ and $a(x_j) \leqslant -(j - l_j)$. Hence

$$g(x_j) = a(x_j) + (g(x_j) - a(x_j)) \geqslant a(x_j) + (l_j + (j - l_j)) = a(x_j) + j = n + j - g(x_j)$$

So $g(x_j) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(n + j)$. Set $p(j) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \leqslant \frac{j+n}{2}\}$. We obtain $x_j \in \mathcal{F}^{p(j)} X^n$. Now apply lemma 82. □

Lemma 89. *If $x \in X^n$. Then there are $x_j \in X^n$ of form degree j with $x = \sum_j x_j$.*

Proof. Write $x = \sum_l x^l$ with $x^l \in \mathcal{F}^l X_0^n$. Expand each $x^l = \sum_j x_j^l$ where the sum is finite with x_j^l being of form degree j. By lemma 82, $x_j = \sum_l x_j^l$ converges to an element of X^n of form degree j. By lemma 88, the sum $\sum_j x_j$ converges. We have $x = \sum_l \sum_j x_j^l = \sum_j \sum_l x_j^l$, which can be verified evaluating both sides modulo $\mathcal{F}^p$ for general p. □

Lemma 90. *For $\xi_j \in X^n$ of form degree j with $\sum_j \xi_j = 0$ we have $\xi_j = 0$.*

Proof. Write $\xi_j = (\xi_{j,p} + \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n)_p$ with $\xi_{j,p} \in X_0^{n,j}$. The p-th component of $\sum_j \xi_j$ has representative $\sum_j \xi_{j,p} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$, where this sum is effectively finite by the proof of lemma 88. We see that $\xi_{j,p} \in \mathcal{F}^p X_0^n$ by expanding in a P-basis of X_0 consisting of monomials in basis elements of the underlying vector space $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^*$. □

A.7. **Symplectic Case.** Consider the graded commutative algebra $X_0 = \mathbb{R}[x_i, y_i, e_j^{(l)}, e_j^{(l)^*}]$ where x_i, y_i are of degree zero and $e_j^{(l)}$ and $e_j^{(l)^*}$ are of degree $-l$ and l, respectively and there are only finitely many generators of a given degree. Define a Poisson structure by setting $\{x_i, y_i\} = \delta_{ij}$, $\{e_j^{(l)}, e_m^{(n)}\} = \delta_{jm}\delta_{ln}$ and setting all other brackets between generators to zero. We complete the space X_0 to the space X as described above. The partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = -\{y_i, -\} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = \{x_i, -\} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial e_j^{(l)}} = (-1)^{l+1}\{e_j^{(l)^*}, -\} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial e_j^{(l)^*}} = \{e_j^{(l)}, -\}$$

are defined via the bracket and hence are all well-defined on X.

Lemma 91. *Let $X_i, Y_i, E_j^{(l)}, E_j^{(l)^*}$ be elements of X such that the assignments*

$$(x_i, y_i, e_j^{(l)}, e_j^{(l)^*}) \mapsto (x_i, Y_i, e_j^{(l)}, E_j^{(l)^*})$$
$$(x_i, y_i, e_j^{(l)}, e_j^{(l)^*}) \mapsto (X_i, Y_i, E_j^{(l)}, E_j^{(l)^*})$$

both define automorphisms $X \to X$ of graded commutative algebras. Then the latter map is a Poisson automorphism if there exists an element $S(x_i, Y_i, e_j^{(l)}, {E_j^{(l)}}^*) \in X$ such that

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} = y_i \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial Y_i} = X_i \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial e_j^{(l)}} = (-1)^l {e_j^{(l)}}^* \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial {E_j^{(l)}}^*} = E_j^{(l)}$$

Here the partial derivatives with respect to the new variables are defined via the chain rule.

Proof. Set $\xi_i^{(0)} = x_i$ and $\xi_j^{(l)} = e_j^{(l)}$ for $l > 0$ and similarly $\eta_i^{(0)} = y_i$ and $\eta_j^{(l)} = {e_j^{(l)}}^*$. We use capital Greek letters Ξ and H for the corresponding transformed variables. We express the bracket as

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_l (-1)^{l \deg f} \sum_j ((-1)^l \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}})$$

since both sides define derivations which agree on generators. The sums converge by lemma 82. We have

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} = (-1)^l \eta_j^{(l)} \text{ and } \frac{\partial S}{\partial H_j^{(l)}} = \Xi_j^{(l)} \text{ so also } \quad \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} = \frac{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}}{\partial \xi_p^{(q)}} (-1)^{q+l+ql}$$

There are functions $f_{j,l}(\xi, \eta) = \Xi_j^{(l)}$ and $g_{j,l}(\xi, \eta) = H_j^{(l)}$ realizing the change of coordinates so that

$$f_{j,l}(\xi, \eta(\xi, H)) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial H_j^{(l)}} \qquad g_{j,l}(\xi, \eta(\xi, H)) = H_j^{(l)}$$

We obtain in the variables $(\xi_j^{(l)}, H_j^{(l)})$

$$\frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} + \sum_{p,q} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} = \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)} \partial H_m^{(n)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} + \sum_{pq} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} = 0 \qquad \sum_{pq} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial H_m^{(n)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} = \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}$$

These expressions make sense in the completion by lemma 82 since (j, l, n, m) are fixed and the $\eta_p^{(q)}$ derivatives are of non-decreasing and unbounded degree. Using those equalities, we calculate in the variables $(\xi_j^{(l)}, H_j^{(l)})$ the bracket $\{f_{m,n}, g_{m',n'}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_l (-1)^{ln} \sum_j ((-1)^l \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}}) \\
=&\sum_{jl} (-1)^{l(n+1)} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)} \partial H_m^{(n)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \sum_{jl} (-1)^{ln} \left(\sum_{pq} (-1)^l \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} + \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \right) \\
=&\sum_{jl} (-1)^l \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial H_m^{(n)} \partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \sum_{jl} (-1)^{ln} \sum_{pq} \left((-1)^l \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \right) \\
=&\sum_{jl} \frac{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}}{\partial H_m^{(n)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - \sum_{jlpq} \left((-1)^{ln+l} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - (-1)^{ql+qn+l} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \right) \\
=&\delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} - \sum_{jlpq} \left((-1)^{ln+l} \frac{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}}{\partial \xi_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} - (-1)^{qn+q} \frac{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}}{\partial \xi_p^{(q)}} \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial \eta_j^{(l)}} \frac{\partial g_{m',n'}}{\partial \eta_p^{(q)}} \right) = \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix B. Normal Ordering

Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be formal variables of degree $d_i \leq 0$ and $\{\eta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ formal variables of degree $-d_i$, such that there are only $n_l < +\infty$ many in each degree l and d_i is non-increasing in i. An element of the free algebra $F_0 = \mathbb{R}\langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle$ is called normal ordered if it belongs to the subspace spanned by all elements

$$\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} \eta_{j_1} \dots \eta_{i_l}$$

where i_n and j_n are both nondecreasing in n, and $k, l \geq 0$ are integers. Let $\hbar$ be a formal variable. Let $(cr) \subset F_0[\hbar]$ be the two sided ideal generated by

$$[\xi_i, \eta_j] - \hbar\delta_{ij}, \qquad [\xi_i, \xi_j], \qquad [\eta_i, \eta_j],$$

where $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\deg x \deg y} yx$.

Theorem 92. *Each class $x + (cr)$ in $F_0[\hbar]/(cr)$ has a unique representative $x' \in F_0[\hbar]$ whose coefficients in F_0 are all normal ordered. The representative x' depends $\mathbb{R}[\hbar]$-linearly on x.*

Proof. Define the vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{span}\{\xi_i, \eta_i, \hbar\}$. The formulae $\{\xi_i, \eta_j\} = \hbar\delta_{ij}$, $\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = \{\eta_i, \eta_j\} = 0$ define a graded Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ with central element $\hbar$. The existence, uniqueness, and $\mathbb{R}$-linearity all follow directly from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem applied to this Lie algebra. Linearity in $\hbar$ now follows directly. □

The assignment $x + (cr) \mapsto x'$ is basis independent in the following way: Consider the two-sided ideal $(c) \subset F_0[\hbar]$ generated by

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j], \qquad [\eta_i, \eta_j],$$

Let $\alpha : F_0[\hbar] \to F_0[\hbar]$ be an isomorphism induced by an isomorphism of graded vector spaces, i.e. by mapping $\xi_i \mapsto \sum_j a_{ij}\xi_j$ and $\eta_i \mapsto \sum_j b_{ij}\eta_j$ where a is an invertible block diagonal matrix with finite dimensional blocks and b is the transpose of the inverse of a. In particular the above two sums are finite.

Lemma 93. *Let $x \in F_0[\hbar]$. Then $\alpha(x') \equiv \alpha(x)' \pmod{(c)}$.*

For the proof we need the auxiliary

Lemma 94. *Let α be as above. We have $\alpha(c) = (c)$ and $\alpha(cr) = (cr)$.*

Proof. We have $\alpha([\xi_i, \xi_j]) = [\alpha(\xi_i), \alpha(\xi_j)] = \sum_{kl} a_{ik}a_{jl}[\xi_k, \xi_l] \in (c)$ since the sums are effectively finite. Similarly $\alpha([\eta_i, \eta_j]) \in (c)$. Furthermore

$$\alpha([\xi_i, \eta_j] - \delta_{ij}\hbar) = [\alpha(\xi_i), \alpha(\eta_j)] - \delta_{ij}\hbar = \sum_{kl} a_{ik}b_{jl}([\xi_k, \eta_l] - \delta_{kl}\hbar) \in (cr)$$

since the sums are finite and b is the transpose inverse of a. We obtain $\alpha(c) \subset (c)$ and $\alpha(cr) \subset (cr)$. In both cases equality follows since the inverse of α is also induced by an isomorphism of graded vector spaces. □

Proof of lemma 93. We may suppose that $x \in F_0$ is a monomial which is constant in $\hbar$. We perform an induction in the number n of factors in x. For $n = 1$ we have $x' = x$, hence $\alpha(x') = \alpha(x)$. Since $\alpha(x)$ is a sum of monomials with one factor each, we also have $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)'$.

Now assume the statement holds for all monomials with at most $n - 1$ factors. Let x be a monomial with n factors. Assume first that no ξ_i appears in x. Then $x \equiv x' \pmod{(c)}$, so $\alpha(x) \equiv \alpha(x') \pmod{(c)}$ by lemma 94. The image $\alpha(x)$ also does not contain any ξ_i. Hence $\alpha(x) \equiv \alpha(x)' \pmod{(c)}$. Now assume that x does contain some ξ_j. If some ξ_j appears in front, we can write $x = \xi_j x_0$. We thus have $x' \equiv \xi_j x_0' \pmod{(c)}$ and conclude

$$\alpha(x') \equiv \alpha(\xi_j)\alpha(x_0') \equiv \alpha(\xi_j)\alpha(x_0)' \equiv (\alpha(\xi_i)\alpha(x_0))' = (\alpha(x))' \pmod{(c)}$$

In the first equivalence we have used lemma 94, in the second the induction assumption, and in the third the fact that $\alpha(\xi_i) = \sum_j a_{ij}\xi_j$. Finally, if no ξ_j appears in front, we may write $x = \eta_{i_1} \cdots \eta_{i_k}\xi_j y_0 \equiv (-1)^m \eta_j^l \xi_j x_0 \pmod{(c)}$ for some $m, l \geq 0$, where the sign comes from commuting all η_p with $p \neq j$ past ξ_j. Hence $x' = (-1)^m(\eta_j^l \xi_j x_0)'$. If $l = 0$ we directly obtain using the case above

$$\alpha(x') = \alpha((-1)^m(\xi_j x_0)') \equiv (\alpha((-1)^m \xi_j x_0))' = \alpha(x)' \pmod{(c)}$$

since $x \equiv (-1)^m \xi_j x_0 \pmod{(c)}$ implies $\alpha(x) \equiv \alpha((-1)^m \xi_j x_0) \pmod{(c)}$ by lemma 94. If $l > 0$ then use $\eta_j^l \xi_j = (-1)^d \xi_j \eta_j^l - f\eta_j^{l-1}\hbar$ for $d = \deg \xi_j$ and $f = \sum_{s=1}^{l} (-1)^{sd}$ to conclude

$$x \equiv (-1)^{m+d} \xi_j \eta_j^l x_0 - (-1)^m f \eta_j^{l-1} x_0 \hbar \pmod{(c)}$$

We now use the induction assumption and the case where a ξ_j is in front to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x') &= \alpha\Big(((-1)^{m+d}\xi_j\eta_j^l x_0)'\Big) - \alpha\Big(((-1)^m f \eta_j^{l-1} x_0)'\Big)\hbar \\ &\equiv \Big(\alpha((-1)^{m+d}\xi_j\eta_j^l x_0)\Big)' - \Big(\alpha((-1)^m f \eta_j^{l-1} x_0)\Big)'\hbar = (\alpha((-1)^m \eta_j^l \xi_j x_0))' \pmod{(c)}\end{aligned}$$

Since $x \equiv (-1)^m \eta_j^l \xi_j x_0 \pmod{(c)}$, the last term equals $(\alpha(x))'$ by lemma 94. We are done.

□

References

[1] I. Batalin and E Fradkin. A generalized canonical formalism and quantization of reducible gauge theories. *Physics Letters*, 122B(2):157–164, 1983.

[2] I. Batalin and G. Vilkovisky. Relativistic s-matrix of dynamical systems with boson and fermion constraints. *Physics Letters*, 69B(3):309–312, 1977.

[3] B. Becchi, A. Rouet, and R. Stora. Renormalization of gauge theories. *Annals of Physics*, 98:287–321, 1976.

[4] Martin Bordemann, Hans-Christian Herbig, and Stefan Waldmann. Brst cohomology and phase space reduction in deformation quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 210(1):107–144, 2000.

[5] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological Algebra*. Princeton University Press, 1956.

[6] Giovanni Felder and David Kazhdan. The classical master equation (with an appendix by Tomer M. Schlank). Etingof, Pavel (ed.) et al., Perspectives in representation theory. A conference in honor of Igor Frenkel's 60th birthday on perspectives in representation theory, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA, May 12–17, 2012. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Contemporary Mathematics 610, 79-137 (2014)., 2014.

[7] J. Fisch, M. Henneaux, J. Stasheff, and C. Teitelboim. Existence, uniqueness and cohomology of the classical brst charge with ghosts of ghosts. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 120(3):379–407, 1989.

[8] E. Fradkin and T. Fradkina. Quantization of relativistic systems with boson and fermion first- and second-class constraints. *Physics Letters*, 72B(3):343–348, 1978.

[9] E. Fradkin and G Vilkovisky. Quantization of relativistic systems with constraints. *Physics Letters*, 55B(2):224–226, 1975.

[10] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim. *Quantization of Gauge Systems*. Princeton University Press, 1994.

[11] H.-C. Herbig. Variations on Homological Reduction. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2007.

[12] B. Kostant and S. Sternberg. Symplectic reduction, brs cohomology, and infinite-dimensional clifford algebras. *Annals of Physics*, 176(1):49–113, 1987.

[13] F. Schätz. Invariance of the BFV complex. *Pacific J. Math*, 248(2):453–474, 2010.

[14] J. Stasheff. Homological reduction of constrained poisson algebras. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 45(1):221–240, 1997.

[15] J. Tate. Homology of noetherian rings and local rings. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 1(1):14–27, 03 1957.

[16] I. Tyutin. *FIAN preprint*, (39), 1975.

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

E-mail address: martin.mueller-lennert@math.ethz.ch